AN ORDINANCE OF THE MATANUSKA-SUSITNA BOROUGH ASSEMBLY APPROVING A SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATION OF \$2,500,000 FROM THE UNRESTRICTED NET ASSETS IN THE SOLID WASTE ENTERPRISE FUND, FUND 510, WITH \$2,414,500 TO FUND 420 SOLID WASTE PROJECT NO. 25056 TO DESIGN AND BUILD A LANDFILL GAS COLLECTION AND FLARE SYSTEM AND ASSOCIATED ELECTRICAL, PIPING, PLUMBING AND ANY OTHER EQUIPMENT AND BUILDINGS REQUIRED FOR THE PROPER FUNCTION OF THE SYSTEM AND \$85,500 TO BUDGET LINE FUND 510.150.401.426.900.

AGENDA OF: April 21, 2020

ASSEMBLY ACTION:	
adested	without appetro
	T-T- to So
<u> </u>	3340

MANAGER RECOMMENDATION: Introduce and set for public hearing.

APPROVED BY JOHN MOOSEY, BOROUGH MANAGER:

Route To:	Department/Individual	Initials	Remarks
	Originator - Solid Waste Division Manager		4/9/2020
	Public Works Director	12	9 APR 2020
	Finance Director	Cheyenne He	Digitally signed by Chauses
	Borough Attorney	Nicholas Spirop	Digitally signed by Nicholas Spiropoulos Date: 2020.04.10 09:30:07 -08'00'
	Borough Clerk	BOALO	rLem

ATTACHMENT(S): Fiscal Note: YES X NO Ordinance Serial No. 20-037 (2 pp)
Resolution Serial No. 20-036 (2 pp)

SUMMARY STATEMENT: In January of 2020, gas readings at perimeter probes on the North side of the Central Landfill began to increase beyond limits allowed by State and Federal regulations. Methane levels increased past the point where the gas could become explosive. ADEC issued a Notice of Violation (NOV) to the Solid Waste Division ordering a plan to reduce the gas at the perimeter immediately, provide a check of all homes within a ½ mile radius as well as to install a collection system or otherwise find a way to reduce landfill gas at the perimeter. The industry standard

IM No. 20-077 OR 20-037 PS 20 -036

includes a landfill gas collection and flare system which was a planned landfill capability to be installed in the next 10 years.

The existing passive collection system either failed for unknown reasons or is not adequate to manage the volumes of gas being produced. Icing was discovered in the passive collection system vents however, clearing the vents failed to reduce the gas. After consulting the engineering firm Burns and McDonnell, Borough staff installed a blower system to keep the landfill gas levels below regulatory limits. Although the system has reduced the amount of methane leaving landfill property, the levels measured at the perimeter probe remain in violation of State and Federal regulations.

The NOV requires the Borough to provide a landfill gas collection and flare system design to ADEC by May 15, 2020 and full system implementation by October 31. This legislation will fund the actions necessary to comply with these requirements.

Failure to meet these timelines can result in up to a \$500,000 fine per day and a year in jail for any entity or person found culpable in or not complying with these requirements.

The Solid Waste Division previously engaged a landfill-engineering firm, Burns and McDonnell, to update the landfill Sequencing Plan, and build a detailed gas management plan, a part of the new permit application due this year. That work placed Burns and McDonnell in a strong position to rapidly assist the Borough in meeting this timeline.

The Manager approved a waiver to immediately enter into a design contract with Burns and McDonnell in the amount of \$85,500 on April 9, 2020. These funds were taken from existing professional services accounts in the Solid Waste annual budget. The Ordinance also seeks to refund the Solid Waste annual budget with these funds to prevent projected requirements shortfalls for professional services this fiscal year.

The Solid Waste Enterprise Fund 510 has a cash balance which includes a legally required closure and post closure fund. This fund would typically provide cash for this type of project. Funds exist in the account to cover this project.

SUMMARY STATEMENT: Assembly approve a supplemental appropriation of \$2,500,000 from the unrestricted net assets in the Solid Waste Enterprise Fund, Fund 510, with \$2,414,500 to Fund 420 Solid Waste Project No. 25056 to design and build a Landfill Gas Collection and Flare System and associated electrical, piping, plumbing and any other equipment and buildings required for the proper function of the system and \$85,500 to budget Line Fund 510.150.401.426.900.

### MATANUSKA-SUSITNA BOROUGH FISCAL NOTE

Agenda Date: April, 21, 2020

SUBJECT: AN ORDINANCE OF THE MATANUSKA-SUSITNA BOROUGH ASSEMBLY APPROVING A SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATION OF \$2,500,000 FROM THE UNRESTRICTED NET ASSETS IN THE SOLID WASTE ENTERPRISE FUND, FUND 510, WITH \$2,414,500 TO FUND 420 SOLID WASTE PROJECT NO. 25056 TO DESIGN AND BUILD A LANDFILL GAS COLLECTION AND FLARE SYSTEM AND ASSOCIATED ELECTRICAL, PIPING, PLUMBING AND ANY OTHER EQUIPMENT AND BUILDINGS REQUIRED FOR THE PROPER FUNCTION OF THE SYSTEM AND \$85,500 TO BUDGET LINE FUND 510.150.401.426.900.

PICCAL ACTION (TO BE C	iro	DIANCE)	PICCAL IN ID LOT VIDO					
FISCAL ACTION (TO BE C		INANCE)	FISCAL IMPACT YES NO					
AMOUNT REQUESTED \$2	,500,000		FUNDING SOURCE Solid Waste Enterprise Fund					
FROM ACCOUNT # 51	0.272.000.4XX.XX	X	PROJECT					
TO ACCOUNT:420.000.000. \$2,414,500/510.150.401.426.			PROJECT # 25056 (associated with Fund 420)					
VERIFIED BY: Liesel W	eiland Digital	lly signed by Liesel Weiland 2020.04.09 12:08:53-08:00'	CERTIFIED BY:					
DATE:			DATE:					
PENDITURES/REVENUES:		(Thou	sands ofDollars)					
OPERATING	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022 FY2023 FY2024 FY2025					
Personnel Services								
Travel								
Contractual	85.5							
Supplies					No.			
Equipment								
Land/Structures								
Grants, Claims								
Miscellaneous								
TOTAL OPERATING	85.5							
CAPITAL	241 <mark>4</mark> .5							
REVENUE		T			Т			
IDING:		(Tho	usands of Dollars)					
General Fund								
State/Federal Funds								
Other	2500							
TOTAL	2 <mark>5</mark> 00							
SITIONS:								
Full-Time								
Tull-Tillic								
Part-Time								



March 27, 2020

# Department of Environmental Conservation

DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH Solid Waste Program

555 Cordova Street Anchorage, AK 99501 Phone: 907.269.7622 Fax: 907.269.7510 www.dec.alaska.gov

Certified Mail # 7019 0140 0001 0058 9485 Return Receipt Requested

Macey "Butch" Shapiro Solid Waste Manager Matanuska-Susitna Borough 350 East Dahlia Avenue Palmer, Alaska 99654

Re: MSB Response, dated March 20, 2020 to Violation of 18 AAC 60.350 Control of Explosive Gases, ADEC Letter, dated January 30, 2020

Dear Mr. Shapiro:

The Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC) Solid Waste Program has reviewed the information submitted on March 23, 2020 by Burns & McDonnell on behalf of the Matanuska-Susitna Borough (MSB), in response to the compliance letter requirements for addressing your violation of the requirements of Title 18, Chapter 60, Section 350 of the Alaska Administrative Code (18 AAC 60.350). The MSP Palmer Landfill recorded a methane concentration well above the standard of 5% by volume in a boundary gas probe. In addition, they failed to properly inform ADEC of the exceedance as required by the regulation.

MSB has worked diligently to mount an initial response to the gas exceedance, including using vacuum motors to redirect the gas, contacting neighbors, sampling for methane at nearby homes, daily methane sampling at the landfill, and submitting daily reports to ADEC, as required by the cited letter. While an initial response has been actively addressed, the methane concentration remains above the 5% limit, in violation of the regulations. The regulations and the cited letter also required the MSB to implement a long-term remediation plan for the landfill gas no later than March 23, 2020.

The submission received did not include a long-term gas management plan, only referenced the intent to submit on in May of 2020. Construction for the gas collection system was scheduled for the 2021-2022 construction season. This is not acceptable to meet the requirements. The MSB failure to address the long-term plan is a serious violation, especially considering the potential human health and safety impacts of methane. ADEC is issuing the attached Notice of Violation (NOV) to MSB for failure to meet the conditions of the regulations and failure to implement the appropriate corrective measures.

The NOV includes timelines and objective for implementation of long-term corrective measures. Should MSB fail to meet these requirements, further enforcement action will be implemented.

Please contact me if you have any comments or questions.

Sincerely,

Lori Aldrich

Solid Waste Regional Program Manager

Encl: NOV

IM 20-077 OR 20-037 RS 20-036



# Department of Environmental Conservation

DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH Solid Waste Program

555 Cordova Street Anchorage, AK 99501 Phone: 907.269.7622 Fax: 907.269.7510 www.dec.alaska.gov

#### **NOTICE OF VIOLATION**

Failure to implement a long-term gas management plan after a methane exceedance in violation of:

- 1. Title 18, Chapter 60, Section 350(d) of the Alaska Administrative Code [18 AAC 60.350(d)]; and the
- 2. ADEC letter, dated January 30, 2020

Solid Waste Division Matanuska-Susitna Borough 350 East Dahlia Avenue Palmer, Alaska 99654

Enforcement Tracking No.

The Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC) alleges that in response to a methane gas exceedance at the boundary of the Palmer Central landfill, Matanuska Susitna Borough failed to implement a long-term remediation plan for the gas releases. This is required by 18 AAC 60.350(d) and reiterated in the compliance letter for the control of explosive gases issued by the ADEC Solid Waste Program on January 30, 2020.

On January 24, 2020, MSB submitted the gas monitoring results for the Palmer Central landfill. The data indicated that at gas probe CLFP-3, at the northern boundary of the landfill, the methane concentration was 440% of the lower explosive limit (LEL), or 88% by volume. 18 AAC 60.350 requires that if an exceedance of the methane LEL (5% by volume) is detected at the property boundary then operator must immediately notify ADEC by telephone and writing of the exceedance and take all necessary steps to dissipate the concentrations of methane to ensure the public health, safety and welfare. MSB did not note any urgency or exceedance in the submittal.

On January 27, 2020 ADEC contacted the MSB Palmer Central landfill and discussed the actions required for dissipating the concentration of methane, contacting nearby homes, and daily sampling. In addition, ADEC required that MSB submit daily reports with sampling results and activities, and reiterated the requirement to implement a long term gas remediation plan within 60 days. MSB was very cooperative in monitoring the landfill and nearby homes and submitting daily reports.

On March 23, MSB submitted a Burns & McDonnell report on the explosive gas response. While the report did detail the activities of MSB and ADEC to date, the long-term gas remediation and management plan was limited to one paragraph and one table that discussed possibilities for future work. The report indicated that the complete plan would be complete in May 2020, and construction was anticipated in 2021-2022. MSB failed to submit or implement an appropriate gas remediation plan.

Im 20-077

OR 20-036

To address the violation(s) described above, the Department requires that MSB complete the following:

- No later than May 15, 2020, submit a complete long-term gas management plan to ADEC for review.
- No later than October 31, 2020, implement the gas management network for cells 1 and 2a.
- No later than October 1, 2021, complete installation of gas management system in all
  closed cells at the landfill.

In addition, in the mid-term, MSB must continue daily gas monitoring until all monitoring probes show no detection at or above the LEL for seven consecutive days. At that point, monitoring may be reduced to weekly – after all gas probes show no such detection for eight additional consecutive weeks, MSB may return to monthly monitoring. Monthly monitoring must continue at least one year beyond the completion of the gas management network in cells 1 and 2a.

Penalties for violation of State statutes and regulations can be quite serious. In a civil action, a person who violates or causes or permits to be violated a provision of this regulation, may be liable to the State for Substantial monetary damages under AS 46.03.760. Depending on the nature of the violation, you may also be liable for the State's response costs under AS 46.03.822, for spill penalties under AS 46.03.758-759, for administrative penalties under AS 46.03.761, or for other kinds of damages or penalties under other statutes.

In a criminal violation, a person who acts with criminal negligence may be guilty of a Class A misdemeanor. AS 46.03.790. Upon conviction, a defendant who is not an organization may be sentenced to pay a fine not exceeding \$10,000.00 and/or sentenced to a definite term of imprisonment of not more than one year. Upon conviction, a defendant that is an organization may be sentenced to pay a fine not exceeding the greater of \$500,000.00 or an amount which is three times the pecuniary damage or loss caused by the defendant to another or property of another. AS 12.55.035. Each day of violation may be considered a separate violation. Alaska laws allow the State to pursue both civil and criminal actions concurrently.

Nothing in this notice shall be construed as a waiver of the State's authority or as an agreement on the part of the State to forego judicial or administrative enforcement of the above-described violation(s) or to seek recovery of damages, cost and penalties as prescribed by law. In addition, nothing herein shall be construed as a waiver of enforcement for past, present, or future violations not specifically set forth herein.

Lori Aldrich, Enforcement Officer Credential No. [R-0292]

Check One:

( ) Personally Served

(x) Sent by Certified Mail

# 7019 0140 0001 0058 9485

on the 27th day of March, 2020.

cc: MSB Public Works
Burns & McDonnell
ECU

1M 20-077 OR 20-037 PS 20-036

#### Table 1 Landfill Gas Cost Estimate

Palmer Central Landfill Matanuska-Susitna, Alaska

Ab-bill Surveys   Is \$ 3,000   3   \$ 3,000   3   \$ 3,000   3   \$ 3,000   3   \$ 3,000   3   \$ 3,000   3   \$ 3,000   3   \$ 3,000   3   \$ 3,000   3   \$ 3,000   \$ 3   \$ 3   \$ 3,000   \$ 3   \$ 3   \$ 3,000   \$ 3	Item	Unit   Unit Cost		Quantity   Total Cost		otal Cost	Destroite	
As-built Surveys   S   S   30,000   1   S   50,000   1		100000000000000000000000000000000000000	U	A RESIDENCE OF THE SECOND	Quantity	-	otal Cost	Remarks
Revegleation		1000	-					based on percent of GC cost; AK mobilization
Simple   S		222						0. 16.47
S	Revegetation	ac	\$	3,000	3	\$	9,000	Seed, rerulizer, amendments, and mulch
Driller Daily Travel	LFG Wells							
Daille Daily Travel	Driller Mobilization	Is	s	11.500	1	s	11.500	Driller quote plus 15% markup
Standby	Driller Daily Travel							
New Wellheads								
LFG well Installation		0.0000						
Vale   Rock		0.7355		201000000000000000000000000000000000000				
Haul/Place Exavated MSW onsite   cy   \$ 4   250   \$ 5   1,000   Waste from well boring placed in Cell 3   \$ 1,500   \$ 13   \$ 19,500   \$ 1,492   \$ 100   \$					10.505			Markap, average depart of
Wellhead Frost Protection   GSF Fathread Double-sided Geocomposite on Cell 2A   GSF Garban Dou	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR							Waste from well horing placed in Cell 3
SSE Eashrinet Double-sided Geocomposite on Cell 2A   SSE Seasynthetic Clay Liner on Cell 2A   Companded Soil on Cell 2A   Collector, wifield joint kits Soil on Soil Soil on Soil Soil on Soil Soil Soil on Soil Soil Soil Soil Soil Soil Soil Soil	마이 경험하면 있는 경기가 2015는 기계 1명한 2015 전에 가장 기계 1명한 2015 전에 가는 1015 전에 가장 2015 전에							VVaste from Well borning placed in Cell 5
SSE Geosynthetic Clay Liner on Cell 2A   Compared Sol on Cell 1A   Compared Sol on Cell 2A   Compared Sol on Cell 1A   Compared Sol on Cell 1A   Compared Sol on Cell 1A   Compared Sol on Cell 2A   C					50.55			~100 SV/roll
Compacted Soil on Ceil 2A   CY   \$ 5.00   100   \$ 500								
Replaced Soil on Cell 1								
LFG Piping					0.00000			
Install 6" HDPE pipe with Integral Insulation   If   S   60   1,300   S   78,000   6" HDPE above ground Cell 2A Collector, wfield joint kits	The placed Soil Off Cell 1	01	۳	3.00	100	•	300	
Install Combined 2" Airline/8" Gas Header/4" Forcemain HDPE pipe with Integral insulation and FM heat trace Install Combined 2" Airline/8" Gas Header/4" Forcemain HDPE pipe with Integral insulation and FM heat trace Install Combined 2" Airline/8" Gas Header/4" Forcemain HDPE pipe Install 6" HDPE pipe Gas Collector If S 40 950 \$ 38,000 \$ 56,000 Combined piping above ground on Cell 2A, w/field joint kits Integral Install 8" Ball Valve Gas S 3,500 \$ \$ 38,000 \$ \$ 38,000 Gmbined piping below ground from Cell 2A perimeter to flat for HDPE pipe Gas Collector If S 40 950 \$ 38,000 Gmbined piping below ground from Cell 2A perimeter to flat for HDPE pipe Gas Collector to Gas Header				20.01				
HDPE pipe with Integral Insulation and FM heat trace Install Combined 2" Airline/8" Gas Header/4" Forcemain If	Install 6" HDPE pipe with Integral Insulation	lf	\$	60	1,300	\$	78,000	6" HDPE above ground Cell 2A Collector, w/field joint kits
HDPE pipe with Integral Insulation and FM heat trace Install Combined 2" Airline/8" Gas Header/4" Forcemain If	Install Combined 2" Aiding/9" Cas Header/4" Forcemain							
Install Combined 2" Airline/8" Gas Header/4" Forcemain HDPE pipe   If   S   70   950   S   38,000   S   56,000   Combined piping below ground from Cell 2A perimeter to flat Install 6" HDPE pipe Gas Collector   If   S   40   950   S   38,000   S   17,500   Install 8" Ball Valve   ea   S   3,500   5   S   17,500   Install 8" Ball Valve   ea   S   3,500   S   S   17,500   Install 8" Ball Valve   ea   S   3,000   S   S   5,000   Install 8" Ball Valve   ea   S   3,000   S   S   5,000   Install 8" Ball Valve   ea   S   3,000   S   S   5,000   Install 8" Ball Valve   ea   S   2,500   Install 8" Ball Valve   ea   S   2,500   Install 8" Ball Valve   ea   S   2,500   Install 8" Ball Valve   Eave Young Flare Skid   Is   S   400,000   Install 8" Ball Valve   Eave Young Flare Skid   Is   S   400,000   Install 8" Ball Valve   Eave Young Flare Skid   Is   S   400,000   Install 8" Ball Valve   Eave Young Flare Skid   Is   S   50,000   Install 8" Ball Valve   Eave Young Flare Skid   Is   S   50,000   Install 8" Ball Valve   Eave Young Flare Skid   Is   S   50,000   Install 8" Ball Valve   Eave Young Flare Skid   Is   S   50,000   Install 8" Ball Valve   Eave Young Flare Skid   Is   S   50,000   Install 8" Ball Valve   Eave Young Flare Skid   Is   S   50,000   Install 8" Flare Area Concrete Pad   S   5,000   Install 8" Flare Area Fencing   If   S   70   120   S   8,400   Chain link   Install 4" Forcemain   If   S   50   500   S   25,000   Condensate forcemain below ground from flare to leachate   Single Containment Pneumatic Sump   Eave Young Flare Skid   S   100,000   Eave Young Flare Young Flare Skid   S   100,000   Eave Young Flare You	AND THE PARTY OF SAME AS A SECOND OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	16	6	100	650		65 000	Combined sister above second as Call CA (5-14):-1411
HDPE pipe   If   \$ 70   800   \$ 56,000   Combined piping below ground from Cell 2A perimeter to flat Install 8" Ball Valve   ea   \$ 3,500   5   \$ 15,000   Ear   Station & Sumps   Electric Service   Ele		11	\$	100	650	>	65,000	Combined piping above ground on Cell 2A, w/field joint kits
Install 6" HDPE pipe Gas Collector   If		14		70	000	_	50,000	0
Install 8" Ball Valve   ea   \$ 3,500   5   \$ 17,500   ea   \$ 3,000   5   \$ 5   5,000   ea   \$ 6,000   ea   \$								
Install 6" Ball Valve		800						6" HDPE below ground Cell 1 Collector to Gas Header
Install 2" Ball Valve		0.755						
Supply/Deliver Blower / Enclosed Flare Skid								
Supply/Deliver Blower / Enclosed Flare Skid   Is \$ 400,000	instali 2 Bali Valve	ea	Þ	2,500	10	,	25,000	
Install Blower/Flare Skid	Flare Station & Sumps							
Pneumatic Compressor Skid	Supply/Deliver Blower / Enclosed Flare Skid	Is		400,000	1	\$	400,000	*update after call Anchorage
Electric Service	Install Blower/Flare Skid	Is	\$	75,000	1	\$	75,000	
Flare Area Site Preparation	Pneumatic Compressor Skid	Is	\$	60,000	1	\$	60,000	
Flare Area Concrete Pad	Electric Service	Is	\$	100,000	1	\$	100,000	Power drop at flare skid
Flare Area Concrete Pad	Flare Area Site Preparation	sf	\$	5	2,000	\$	10,000	Grading and gravel surfacing
Flare Area Fencing	Flare Area Concrete Pad	cy	\$	1,000	14	\$		
Install 4" Forcemain   If   \$ 50 50   \$ 25,000   \$ 25,000   Condensate forcemain below ground from flare to leachate   \$ 25,000   4   \$ 100,000   \$ 1,601,000   \$ 1,601,000   \$ 1,601,000   \$ 1,601,000   \$ 1,601,000   \$ 1,601,000   \$ 1,601,000   \$ 1,611,000   \$ 1,611,000   \$ 1,611,000   \$ 1,611,000   \$ 1,611,000   \$ 1,601,000   \$ 1,601,000   \$ 1,852,744   \$ 6,000   \$ 1,611,000   \$ 1,611,000   \$ 1,852,744   \$ 6,000   \$ 1,611,000   \$ 1,852,744   \$ 6,000   \$ 1,611,000   \$ 1,852,744   \$ 6,000   \$ 1,852,744   \$ 6,000   \$ 1,852,744   \$ 6,000   \$ 1,852,744   \$ 6,000   \$ 1,852,744   \$ 6,000   \$ 1,852,744   \$ 6,000   \$ 1,852,744   \$	Flare Pad Geotechnical	Is	\$	5,000	1	\$	5,000	5
Install 4" Forcemain	Flare Area Fencing	lf	\$	70	120	\$	8,400	Chain link
Single Containment Pneumatic Sump	Install 4" Forcemain	lf	\$	50	500	\$		
Pneumatic Sump Pump	Single Containment Pneumatic Sump	ea	\$	25,000	4	\$		
Pneumatic Sump Pump	Condensate Sump Frost Protection	ea	\$	1,500	4	\$	6,000	
Construction Total		ea	\$	3,500	4		14,000	
15% Davis Bacon Allowance   Is							1,611,082	
Engineering/Project Mgmt. Cost		Is		- 1	1			
Engineering/Project Mgmt. Cost	Construction Total	ecesses.				\$	1 852 744	
GCCS Design         \$ 90,000         1         \$ 90,000         1         \$ 90,000         6 GCCS Construction Cost         10%         \$ 161,000         % of GCCS Construction Cost         100,000         1         \$ 100,000         1         \$ 100,000         1         \$ 100,000         1         \$ 351,000         1         \$ 100,000         1         \$ 100,000         1         \$ 100,000         1         \$ 100,000         1         \$ 100,000         1         1         \$ 100,000         <					-		1,002,144	
GCCS Field Eng/Oversight 10% \$ 161,000 % of GCCS Construction Cost Commissioning & Training & GCCS Balancing \$ 100,000 1 \$ 100,000    Engineering/Project Mgmt. Total \$ 351,000			s	90,000	1	s	90.000	
Commissioning & Training & GCCS Balancing         \$ 100,000         1         \$ 100,000           Engineering/Project Mgmt. Total         \$ 351,000			35.3					% of GCCS Construction Cost
Engineering/Project Mgmt. Total \$ 351,000			Ma		1			70 of GGGG Golfall delicit GGGC
			Ŷ	,00,000				
Global Project Contingency   10% 1 \$ 220,000	Global Project Contingency			10%	1	\$	220,000	
Implementation Cost \$ 2,420,000				the same	No. of Contract of	_		

