MATANUSKA-SUSITNA BOROUGH INFORMATION MEMORANDUM IM No. 18-143

SUBJECT: Notification of certification of 2018 regular real property, personal property, oil and gas properties, exempted properties, and Board of Equalization actions.

AGENDA OF: October 16, 2018

ASSEMBLY ACTION:	

MANAGER RECOMMENDATION: For information only.

APPROVED BY JOHN MOOSEY, BOROUGH MANAGER: Seorgy (1)

Route To:	Department/Individual	Initials	Remarks
		1	
	Finance/Assessments	ah	
1	Assessor	BO	
2	Finance Director	OX	
3	Attorney	B	
4	Borough Clerk	YAM	10/8/18

ATTACHMENT(S): Fiscal Note: Yes ____ No _X

- 1. Personal Property Regular Roll Certification, May 29, 2018.
- 2. Certified Regular Roll for Real Property, May 29, 2018 (14pp)
 - a. Certified Rolls for Fire Service Areas
 - b. Certified Rolls for Road Service Areas
 - c. Certified Rolls for Special Service Areas
- 3. Certified Oil and Gas Properties Assessment Roll, June 1, 2018. $(3\rho\rho)$
- 4. Certified Senior Citizen/Disabled Veteran Home Owners Tax Exemption and Farm and Agricultural Lands Deferred Tax Program, May 29, 2018.

5. 2018 Board of Equalization Summary Report and Certification of Actions, May 25, 2018. (8pp)

SUMMARY STATEMENT: Certification of the 2018 Regular Real and Regular Personal Property rolls are attached in accordance with Alaska State Statute 29.45.210; individual certified rolls for fire, road, and special service areas (included with the regular roll), Oil and Gas Properties assessment rolls, Senior Citizen/Disabled Veteran Home Owners Tax Exemption, Farm and Agricultural Lands Deferred Tax Program, and the 2018 Board of

Equalization Summary Report and Summary Certification to the Assembly.

MATANUSKA-SUSITNA BOROUGH

FINANCE DEPARTMENT ASSESSMENT DIVISION

350 East Dahlia Avenue, Palmer, Alaska 99645-6488 PHONE (907) 861-8637 * FAX (907) 861-8693

MATANUSKA-SUSITNA BOROUGH 2018 REGULAR PERSONAL PROPERTY ASSESSMENT ROLL

May 24, 2018

We certify that the 2018 Regular Assessment Roll for Personal Property, pursuant to MSB 3.15.035, is as follows:

Zone	Inventory Values	Inventory Exemption Values	MSB Taxable Values
Non-Areawide	18,054,491.83	9,162,349.77	8,892,142.06
City of Palmer	11,123,310.82	3,485,141.59	7,638,169.23
City of Houston	523,576.86	150,626.21	372,950.65
City of Wasilla	58,318,560.35	19,055,012.69	39,263,547.66
Totals	88,019,939.86	31,853,130.26	56,166,809.60

56,166,809.60 7,638,169.23	
n/a	
n/a	
	7,638,169.23 n/a

Date 5/29/18

Date

5 29 11

Brad Pickett Borough Assessor Lonnie R McKechnie Borough Clerk, CMC

Im 18-143

- ASMT 014-Prod oll 5/23/2018	Report - ASMT 014-Prod Regular Roll NAR 5/23/2018		K-E-A-L P-K-O-P-E-K-I-Y I-A-X K-O-L-L V-A-L-O-E I-O-I-A-L-S	
	Report Regular R NAR	- ASMT 014-Prod	llo	5/23/201

	Acres	Acres	Acres	Acres
	Impr Cnt	Impr Cnt	Impr Cnt	Impr Cnt
	Parcels	Parcels	Parcels	Parcels
	2,771.28	12,838.64	6,938.82	1,142,582.87
	2,050	988	3,071	38,857 Im
	2,510	2,052	4,021	69,455 F
ASSESSED	\$108,789,711	\$39,016,651	\$285,966,091	\$2,006,173,723
	\$328,044,882	\$86,938,564	\$781,287,586	\$5,633,382,228
	\$436,834,593	\$125,955,215	\$1,067,253,677	\$7,639,555,951
FARM USE	\$2,369,938 \$0 \$2,369,938	0,00	0\$ 0\$	482,126,240 \$48,162,300 \$227,701,043 \$60,117,894 \$2,006,173,72; 168,268,474 \$119,076,450 \$463,774,838 \$60,117,894 \$7,639,555,95 650,394,714 \$167,238,750 \$691,475,881 \$60,117,894 \$7,639,555,95
SR. CITIZEN	\$9,641,308	\$2,588,561	\$16,926,135	\$227,701,043
	\$39,011,383	\$9,491,650	\$37,921,865	\$463,774,838
	\$48,652,691	\$12,080,211	\$54,848,000	\$691,475,881
EXEMPT DISABLED VET	\$2,501,675	\$293,000	\$3,640,000	\$48,162,300
	\$7,830,350	\$1,851,400	\$8,732,250	\$119,076,450
	\$10,332,025	\$2,144,400	\$12,372,250	\$167,238,750
EXEMPT DIS	\$28,453,268	\$8,280,188	\$49,458,774	\$482,126,240
	\$247,969,250	\$50,370,814	\$241,497,433	\$1,168,268,474
	\$276,422,518	\$58,651,002	\$290,956,207	\$1,650,394,714
APPRAISED	\$151,755,900	\$50,178,400	\$355,991,000	\$2,824,281,200
	\$622,855,865	\$148,652,428	\$1,069,439,134	\$7,384,501,990
	\$774,611,765	\$198,830,828	\$1,425,430,134	\$10,208,783,190
	LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE	LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE	LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE	NAR LAND VALUE \$2,824,281,200 \$1,100
ZONE	900	012	013	NAR

Acres		Parcels	Acres	Impr Cnt	Parcels
1,165,131.61	44,966	78,038	0.00	0	0
\$2,439,946,176	\$6,829,653,260	\$9,269,599,436	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$62,487,832	\$0	\$62,487,832	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$256,857,047	\$550,199,736	\$807,056,783	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$54,596,975	\$137,490,450	\$192,087,425	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$568,318,470	\$1,708,105,971	\$2,276,424,441	\$0	\$0	80
\$3,382,206,500		\$12,607,655,917	\$0	\$0	\$0
LAND VALUE	IMPR VALUE	TOTAL VALUE	LAND VALUE	IMPR VALUE	TOTAL VALUE
CTY TOTAL			UTILITY TOTAL		

CERTIFICATION OF THE 2018 ASSESSMENT ROLL

include these values in the assessed total due to state reimbursement, subject to legislative appropriation. Assessed value totals do not include Senior Citizen, Disabled Veteran, or Farm Use values. Prior years

Borough Assessor Brad Pickett

Lonnie R. McKechnie Borough Clerk, CMC

Date

Acres Impr Cnt Acres Parcels mpr Cnt Acres Impr Cnt Parcels Parcels Acres Impr Cnt Parcels Acres Parcels Acres mpr Cnt Impr Cnt Parcels 513 24.74 1,679.34 53 54 8,611.58 294.41 44 11,439.98 518 44,408 76,823 131 1,142,949.37 ASSESSED \$13,093,042.00 \$33,327,374.00 \$20,234,332.00 \$1,100,000.00 \$6,551,200.00 \$7,651,200.00 \$174,000.00 \$5,553,000.00 \$5,727,000.00 \$875,800.00 55,841,017.00 \$6,716,817.00 \$4,300,800.00 \$2,901,925.00 \$7,202,725.00 \$62,487,832.00 \$2,420,402,534.00 \$0 \$6,788,571,786.00 \$62,487,832 \$9,208,974,320.00 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 \$0.00 **FARM USE** \$256,857,047 EXEMPT DISABLED VET SR. CITIZEN \$2,180,614 \$737,858 \$1,442,756 \$0 \$150,000 \$739,200 \$889,200 \$154,000 \$1,298,600 \$1,452,600 \$136,400 \$147,935 \$255,678,789 \$284,335 \$546,571,245 \$802,250,034 \$43,000 \$220,200 \$263,200 \$25,000 \$118,900 \$143,900 \$0 \$94,100 \$205,900 \$300,000 \$0 \$0 \$54,596,975 \$54,434,875 \$136,945,450 \$191,380,325 \$6,182,600 \$18,744,350 \$24,926,950 \$21,000 \$87,500 \$25,200 \$421,600 \$446,800 \$108,500 \$8,523,500 \$12,200 \$5,287,100 \$13,810,600 \$2,092,000 \$2,104,200 \$554,710,570 \$568,318,470 \$1,680,316,821 \$2,235,027,391 **APPRAISED** \$20,056,500 \$40,641,638 \$60,698,138 \$3,062,060 \$9,591,260 \$1,296,000 \$7,496,800 \$8,792,800 \$5,461,100 \$14,076,500 \$19,537,600 \$1,149,100 \$7,767,117 \$8,916,217 \$6,529,200 \$3,347,714,600 \$12,500,119,902 \$9,152,405,302 \$3,382,206,500 IMPR VALUE LAND VALUE **FOTAL VALUE** LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE IMPR VALUE *FOTAL VALUE* **FOTAL VALUE** LAND VALUE **TOTAL VALUE** LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE **FOTAL VALUE** LAND VALUE SSA OTHER SSA TOTAL SSA 007 SSA 008 SSA 069 ZONE SSA 131 SSA 134

R-E-A-L P-R-O-P-E-R-T-Y T-A-X R-O-L-L V-A-L-U-E T-O-T-A-L-S

5/23/2018

ASMT 014 - SSA Summary

Regular Roll

2018

Report - ASMT 014

5/23/2018

DW 18-143

Acres

1,164,999.41

\$2,439,946,176

\$62,487,832

\$550,199,736 \$807,056,783

\$137,490,450 \$192,087,425

\$2,276,424,441

\$1,708,105,971

\$9,225,449,417

IMPR VALUE **TOTAL VALUE**

\$12,607,655,917

\$9,269,599,436 \$6,829,653,260

\$62,487,832

44,966 Impr Cnt 78,038 Parcels

	18,307.30 Acres 1,680 Impr Cnt 2,622 Parcels	11,811.02 Acres 465 Impr Cnt 999 Parcels	22,936.69 Acres 1,286 Impr Cnt 2,329 Parcels	45,824.64 Acres 2,153 Impr Cnt 4,632 Parcels	75,102.87 Acres 17,517 Impr Cnt 23,401 Parcels	41,330.98 Acres 5,677 Impr Cnt 7,389 Parcels	28,366.67 Acres 998 Impr Cnt 3,522 Parcels	52,702.13 Acres 6,941 Impr Cnt 10,777 Parcels	868,617.10 Acres 8,249 Impr Cnt 22,367 Parcels
FARM USE ASSESSED	\$2,261,725 \$54,734,165.00 1 \$0 \$205,008,944.00 \$2,261,725 \$259,743,109.00	\$273,131 \$12,571,269.00 \$0 \$34,488,140.00 \$273,131 \$47,059,409.00	\$0 \$57,832,707.00 \$0 \$112,701,531.00 \$0 \$170,534,238.00	\$0 \$131,718,871.00 4! \$0 \$170,476,232.00 \$0 \$302,195,103.00	\$24,872,030 \$1,066,460,410.00 \$0 \$3,575,936,671.00 \$24,872,030 \$4,642,397,081.00	\$28,330,958 \$314,557,034.00 47 \$0 \$1,019,154,616.00 \$28,330,958 \$1,333,711,650.00	\$0 \$51,640,800.00 \$0 \$46,104,325.00 \$0 \$97,745,125.00	\$3,740,788 \$331,316,346.00 52 \$0 \$952,855,261.00 \$3,740,788 \$1,284,171,607.00	\$3,009,200 \$419,114,574.00 868 \$0 \$712,927,540.00 \$3,009,200 \$1,132,042,114.00
EMPT DISABLED VET SR. CITIZEN	\$1,546,700 \$10,025,160 \$5,841,400 \$30,516,581 \$7,388,100 \$40,541,741	\$393,700 \$1,747,500 \$1,961,000 \$7,479,500 \$2,354,700 \$9,227,000	\$380,350 \$6,111,508 \$1,183,400 \$13,987,657 \$1,563,750 \$20,099,165	\$772,700 \$12,951,870 \$2,138,500 \$20,656,799 \$2,911,200 \$33,608,669	\$118,783,623 \$235,402,872 \$354,186,495	\$44,209,448 \$82,781,973 \$126,991,421	\$286,100 \$1,907,700 \$1,038,400 \$6,179,825 \$1,324,500 \$8,087,525	\$38,206,116 \$85,081,786 \$123,287,902	\$22,914,122 \$68,112,743 \$91,026,865
APPRAISED EXEMPT DI	\$8,630,250 \$1,5 \$27,035,127 \$5,8 \$35,665,377 \$7,38	\$4,591,300 \$38 \$25,163,460 \$1,96 \$29,754,760 \$2,38	\$15,590,235 \$38 \$51,678,900 \$1,18 \$67,269,135 \$1,56	\$20,091,959 \$30,090,506 \$50,182,465 \$2,91	\$137,456,487 \$29,245,550 \$776,149,382 \$68,647,800 \$913,605,869 \$97,893,350	\$29,671,860 \$12,077,900 \$112,242,875 \$27,183,700 \$141,914,735 \$39,261,600	\$7,849,200 \$28 \$3,215,850 \$1,03 \$11,065,050 \$1,32	\$36,413,250 \$6,664,200 \$112,396,190 \$18,427,400 \$148,809,440 \$25,091,600	\$308,023,929 \$3,229,775 \$570,133,681 \$11,068,850 \$878,157,610 \$14,298,625
APP	E \$77,198,000 E \$268,402,052 E \$345,600,052	E \$19,576,900 E \$69,092,100 E \$88,669,000	E \$79,914,800 E \$179,551,488 E \$259,466,288	E \$165,535,400 E \$223,362,037 E \$388,897,437	E \$1,376,818,100 E \$4,656,136,725 E \$6,032,954,825	\$428,847,200 \$1,241,363,164 \$1,670,210,364	\$61,683,800 \$56,538,400 \$118,222,200	\$416,340,700 \$1,168,760,637 \$1,585,101,337	\$756,291,600 \$1,362,242,814 \$2,118,534,414
IIÎ.	002 LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE	004 LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE	024 LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE	035 LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE	130 LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE	132 LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE	135 LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE	136 LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE	THER LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE
ZONE	FSA 002	FSA	FSA	FSA (FSA	FSA 1	FSA 1	FSA 136	FSA OTHER

5/23/2018

2018 Regular Roll ASMT 014 - FSA Summary

Report - ASMT 014-Prod

5/23/2018

ASMT 014 - FSA Summary 2018 Regular Roll

ZONE

5/23/2018

44,966 Impr Cnt 78,038 Parcels 1,164,999.41 ASSESSED \$2,439,946,176 \$6.829.653.260 \$9,269,599,436 FARM USE \$0\$ \$62,487,832 EXEMPT DISABLED VET SR. CITIZEN \$54,596,975 \$256,857,047 \$137,490,450 \$550,199,736 \$192,087,425 \$807,056,783 \$568,318,470 \$1,708,105,971 \$2,276,424,441 APPRAISED \$3,382,206,500 \$9,225,449,417 \$12,607,655,917 LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE **FSA TOTAL**

Acres

DW 18-143

R-E-A-L P-R-O-P-E-R-T-Y T-A-X R-O-L-L V-A-L-U-E T-O-T-A-L-S Report - ASMT 014-Prod 2018 Regular Roll 5/23/2018

5/23/2018

ASMT 014 - RSA Summary

	6,454.72 Acres 1,700 Impr Cnt 2,129 Parcels	12,684.47 Acres 2,349 Impr Cnt 2,978 Parcels	_	_	64,254.26 Acres 4,695 Impr Cnt 7,442 Parcels	-	34,117.70 Acres 1,787 Impr Cnt 3,586 Parcels			9,458.13 Acres 3,810 Impr Cnt 4,701 Parcels	(
SE ASSESSED	\$133,246,782.00	\$137,076,976.00	\$78,719,696.00	\$227,418,059.00	\$223,059,178.00	\$25,641,277.00	\$116,608,725.00	\$168,683,420.00	\$12,144,130.00	\$223,124,324.00	\$55,248,965.00
	\$470,108,833.00	\$498,367,282.00	\$72,063,485.00	\$778,539,722.00	\$759,882,972.00	\$71,490,746.00	\$146,888,772.00	\$315,104,322.00	\$30,650,450.00	\$772,758,444.00	\$214,304,744.00
	\$603,355,615.00	\$635,444,258.00	\$150,783,181.00	\$1,005,957,781.00	\$982,942,150.00	\$97,132,023.00	\$263,497,497.00	\$483,787,742.00	\$42,794,580.00	\$995,882,768.00	\$269,553,709.00
EN FARM USE	\$4,715,968 \$0 \$4,715,968	\$2,071,094 \$0 \$2,071,094	0 0 8 8	\$36,294,357 \$0 \$36,294,357 \$	\$701,558 \$0 \$701,558	\$2,285,738 \$0 \$2,285,738	08 80	\$257,000 \$0 \$257,000	\$695,145 \$0 \$695,145	\$4,737,076 \$0 \$4,737,076	\$2,198,725 \$0 \$2,198,725
) VET SR. CITIZEN	\$14,453,900	\$19,819,317	\$3,146,404	\$34,277,268	\$20,783,991	\$5,427,300	\$11,899,316	\$13,143,580	\$1,620,950	\$35,060,900	\$10,840,260
	\$26,999,122	\$30,224,520	\$10,723,815	\$64,217,411	\$54,988,765	\$9,881,850	\$15,713,859	\$31,238,933	\$2,754,150	\$53,856,317	\$32,059,281
	\$41,453,022	\$50,043,837	\$13,870,219	\$98,494,679	\$75,772,756	\$15,309,150	\$27,613,175	\$44,382,513	\$4,375,100	\$88,917,217	\$42,899,541
EXEMPT DISABLED VET	\$3,721,950	\$6,254,400	\$398,900	\$9,461,900	\$6,266,600	\$699,700	\$531,500	\$925,600	\$298,500	\$7,026,400	\$1,511,700
	\$8,294,000	\$11,531,000	\$1,239,500	\$21,461,600	\$19,058,850	\$1,335,100	\$1,798,000	\$2,701,600	\$751,500	\$14,845,400	\$5,882,800
	\$12,015,950	\$17,785,400	\$1,638,400	\$30,923,500	\$25,325,450	\$2,034,800	\$2,329,500	\$3,627,200	\$1,050,000	\$21,871,800	\$7,394,500
APPRAISED EXI	\$12,074,600	\$10,947,913	\$20,704,500	\$42,149,416	\$27,048,073	\$2,317,785	\$14,760,059	\$33,152,400	\$629,075	\$29,860,100	\$11,344,750
	\$33,012,697	\$58,827,194	\$4,400,350	\$198,711,521	\$371,279,445	\$6,596,704	\$28,537,206	\$31,468,038	\$1,411,750	\$177,195,190	\$28,108,327
	\$45,087,297	\$69,775,107	\$25,104,850	\$240,860,937	\$398,327,518	\$8,914,489	\$43,297,265	\$64,620,438	\$2,040,825	\$207,055,290	\$39,453,077
APPF	\$168,213,200	\$176,169,700	\$102,969,500	\$349,601,000	\$277,859,400	\$36,371,800	\$143,799,600	\$216,162,000	\$15,387,800	\$299,808,800	\$81,144,400
	\$538,414,652	\$598,949,996	\$88,427,150	\$1,062,930,254	\$1,205,210,032	\$89,304,400	\$192,937,837	\$380,512,893	\$35,567,850	\$1,018,655,351	\$280,355,152
	\$706,627,852	\$775,119,696	\$191,396,650	\$1,412,531,254	\$1,483,069,432	\$125,676,200	\$336,737,437	\$596,674,893	\$50,955,650	\$1,318,464,151	\$361,499,552
	LAND VALUE	LAND VALUE	LAND VALUE	LAND VALUE	LAND VALUE	LAND VALUE	LAND VALUE	LAND VALUE	LAND VALUE	LAND VALUE	LAND VALUE
	IMPR VALUE	IMPR VALUE	IMPR VALUE	IMPR VALUE	IMPR VALUE	IMPR VALUE	IMPR VALUE	IMPR VALUE	IMPR VALUE	IMPR VALUE	IMPR VALUE
	TOTAL VALUE	TOTAL VALUE	TOTAL VALUE	TOTAL VALUE	TOTAL VALUE	TOTAL VALUE	TOTAL VALUE	TOTAL VALUE	TOTAL VALUE	TOTAL VALUE	TOTAL VALUE
ZONE	RSA 009	RSA 014	RSA 015	RSA 016	RSA 017	RSA 019	RSA 020	RSA 021	RSA 023	RSA 025	RSA 026

24431 WA

קט
7
7-
Ö
Ţ
-
Щ
\neg
ᆛ
4
>
ᆛ
ᆛ
Q
2
×
Ł
μ'
>
Ĺ
4
山
4
5
Ť
Y-R
Δ.
ᆜ
4
H.
\propto

5/23/2018

2018 Regular Roll ASMT 014 - RSA Summary

ZONE		APP	APPRAISED EXE	EXEMPT DISABLED VET	O VET SR. CITIZEN	FARM USE	SE ASSESSED	
RSA 027	LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE	\$177,866,200 \$519,724,742 \$697,590,942	\$13,595,850 \$54,608,888 \$68,204,738	\$2,905,200 \$8,960,300 \$11,865,500	\$16,449,721 \$38,098,424 \$54,548,145	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	\$144,915,429.00 \$418,057,130.00 \$562,972,559.00	18,375.75 Acres 3,104 Impr Cnt 4,797 Parcels
RSA 028	LAND VALUE	\$244,915,700	\$18,834,400	\$6,443,500	\$25,163,525	\$3,939,667	\$190,534,608.00	27,184.51 Acres
	IMPR VALUE	\$819,582,250	\$61,274,714	\$16,087,400	\$52,622,624	\$0	\$689,597,512.00	4,041 Impr Cnt
	TOTAL VALUE	\$1,064,497,950	\$80,109,114	\$22,530,900	\$77,786,149	\$3,939,667	\$880,132,120.00	5,091 Parcels
RSA 029	LAND VALUE	\$108,322,800	\$30,300,235	\$380,350	\$6,484,408	\$18,944	\$71,138,863.00	78,939.09 Acres
	IMPR VALUE	\$190,049,038	\$52,010,300	\$1,183,400	\$14,938,607	\$0	\$121,916,731.00	1,527 Impr Cnt
	TOTAL VALUE	\$298,371,838	\$82,310,535	\$1,563,750	\$21,423,015	\$18,944	\$193,055,594.00	3,589 Parcels
RSA 030	LAND VALUE	\$52,355,100	\$28,673,600	\$56,000	\$1,140,200	\$207,219	\$22,278,081.00	96,129.88 Acres
	IMPR VALUE	\$38,021,009	\$6,275,864	\$351,900	\$3,617,400	\$0	\$27,775,845.00	752 Impr Cnt
	TOTAL VALUE	\$90,376,109	\$34,949,464	\$407,900	\$4,757,600	\$207,219	\$50,053,926.00	2,113 Parcels
RSA 031	LAND VALUE	\$34,178,400	\$11,555,400	\$510,000	\$2,624,300	\$281,858	\$19,206,842.00	26,500.06 Acres
	IMPR VALUE	\$83,348,796	\$25,887,060	\$2,405,400	\$10,377,150	\$0	\$44,679,186.00	680 Impr Cnt
	TOTAL VALUE	\$117,527,196	\$37,442,460	\$2,915,400	\$13,001,450	\$281,858	\$63,886,028.00	1,600 Parcels
RSA OTHER	LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE		\$260,370,314 \$568,500,723 \$828,871,037	\$7,204,775 \$19,602,700 \$26,807,475		\$4,083,483 \$0 \$ \$4,083,483 \$	\$4,083,483 \$590,900,821.00 \$0 \$1,397,467,084.00 \$4,083,483 \$1,988,367,905.00	597,547.33 Acres 9,344 Impr Cnt 20,120 Parcels
RSA TOTAL	LAND VALUE	\$3,382,206,500	\$568,318,470	\$54,596,975	\$54,596,975 \$256,857,047 \$	\$62,487,832	\$62,487,832 \$2.439.946.176	1,164,999.41 Acres
	IMPR VALUE	\$9,225,449,417	\$1,708,105,971	\$137,490,450	\$137,490,450 \$550,199,736	\$62,487,832	\$0 \$6.829.653.260	44,966 Impr Cnt
	TOTAL VALUE	\$12,607,655,917	\$2,276,424,441	\$192,087,425	\$192,087,425 \$807,056,783 \$	\$62,487,832	\$62,487,832 \$9,269,599,436	78,038 Parcels

JM18-143

	Acres Impr Cnt Parcels	Acres Impr Cnt Parcels	Acres Impr Cnt Parcels	Acres Impr Cnt Parcels	Acres Impr Cnt Parcels	Acres Impr Cnt Parcels	Acres Impr Cnt Parcels	Acres Impr Cnt Parcels
	16,384.00	1,629.97	21,310.13	4,362.39	72.04	5,217.06	8,698.00	10,768.06
	1,604	31	3,628	494	31	1,668	3,570	2,295 In
	2,473	67	4,567	642	35	2,081	4,404	2,912 F
ASSESSED	\$51,827,365	\$2,031,000	\$201,518,175	\$24,590,084	\$1,749,900	\$131,412,382	\$207,169,024	\$135,918,976
	\$195,614,727	\$3,529,100	\$681,088,832	\$97,367,790	\$6,301,400	\$463,806,133	\$714,644,911	\$491,775,082
	\$247,442,092	\$5,560,100	\$882,607,007	\$121,957,874	\$8,051,300	\$595,218,515	\$921,813,935	\$627,694,058
FARM USE	\$2,198,725 \$0 \$2,198,725	\$63,000	\$23,506,921 \$0 \$23,506,921	\$12,787,436 \$0 \$12,787,436	0\$	\$4,715,968 \$0 \$4,715,968	\$4,737,076 \$0 \$4,737,076	\$2,071,094 \$0 \$2,071,094
SR. CITIZEN	\$9,801,860	\$69,300	\$29,787,088	\$4,490,180	\$21,400	\$14,432,500	\$33,954,200	\$19,669,317
	\$29,101,581	\$116,400	\$56,438,361	\$7,779,050	\$112,700	\$26,886,422	\$52,492,550	\$29,485,320
	\$38,903,441	\$185,700	\$86,225,449	\$12,269,230	\$134,100	\$41,318,922	\$86,446,750	\$49,154,637
EXEMPT DISABLED VET	\$1,368,000 \$5,576,500 \$6,944,500	\$84,600 \$59,000 \$143,600	\$8,388,000 \$19,685,500 \$28,073,500	\$1,073,900 \$1,776,100 \$2,850,000	0 0 0 0 \$	\$3,721,950 \$8,294,000 \$12,015,950	\$6,315,000 \$13,156,800 \$19,471,800	\$6,229,400 \$11,412,100 \$17,641,500
EXEMPT DIS	\$8,248,450	\$356,600	\$20,715,216	\$20,925,700	\$41,500	\$11,794,700	\$29,709,800	\$10,145,813
	\$26,613,527	\$0	\$90,388,121	\$108,310,300	\$146,700	\$32,865,997	\$175,349,890	\$58,739,694
	\$34,861,977	\$356,600	\$111,103,337	\$129,236,000	\$188,200	\$44,660,697	\$205,059,690	\$68,885,507
APPRAISED	\$73,444,400	\$2,604,500	\$283,915,400	\$63,867,300	\$1,812,800	\$166,077,500	\$281,885,100	\$174,034,600
	\$256,906,335	\$3,704,500	\$847,600,814	\$215,233,240	\$6,560,800	\$531,852,552	\$955,644,151	\$591,412,196
	\$330,350,735	\$6,309,000	\$1,131,516,214	\$279,100,540	\$8,373,600	\$697,930,052	\$1,237,529,251	\$765,446,796
	LAND VALUE	LAND VALUE	LAND VALUE	LAND VALUE	LAND VALUE	LAND VALUE	LAND VALUE	LAND VALUE
	IMPR VALUE	IMPR VALUE	IMPR VALUE	IMPR VALUE	IMPR VALUE	IMPR VALUE	IMPR VALUE	IMPR VALUE
	TOTAL VALUE	TOTAL VALUE	TOTAL VALUE	TOTAL VALUE	TOTAL VALUE	TOTAL VALUE	TOTAL VALUE	TOTAL VALUE
ZONE	ZONE 0001	ZONE 0002	ZONE 0003	ZONE 0004	ZONE 0005	ZONE 0006	ZONE 0007	ZONE 0008

5/23/2018

2018 Regular Roll ASMT 014 NAR

Report - ASMT 014-Prod

5/23/2018

ZONE		APPRAISED	EXEMPT DISABLED VET	ABLED VET	SR. CITIZEN	FARM USE	ASSESSED		
ZONE 0009	LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE	\$322,900 \$1,300 \$324,200	\$238,400 \$0 \$238,400	0 0 0	0\$	0 0 0 9	\$84,500 \$1,300 \$85,800	1,165.45 1 13	Acres Impr Cnt Parcels
ZONE 0010	LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE	\$1,818,300 \$106,800 \$1,925,100	\$508,500 \$13,100 \$521,600	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	\$0 \$0 \$0	0\$	\$1,309,800 \$93,700 \$1,403,500	2,077.64 6 178	Acres Impr Cnt Parcels
ZONE 0011	LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE	\$839,100 \$41,000 \$880,100	\$781,100 \$0 \$781,100	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0\$	0 0 0 9	\$58,000 \$41,000 \$99,000	1,889.88 1 12	Acres Impr Cnt Parcels
ZONE 0012	LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE	\$151,755,900 \$622,855,865 \$774,611,765	\$28,453,268 \$247,969,250 \$276,422,518	\$2,501,675 \$7,830,350 \$10,332,025	\$9,641,308 \$39,011,383 \$48,652,691	\$2,369,938 \$0 \$2,369,938	\$108,789,711 \$328,044,882 \$436,834,593	2,771.28 2,050 2,510	Acres Impr Cnt Parcels
ZONE 0013	LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE	\$2,769,400 \$230,800 \$3,000,200	\$2,727,100 \$0 \$2,727,100	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0\$ \$0 \$0	0\$\$	\$42,300 \$230,800 \$273,100	4,739.42 3 40	Acres Impr Cnt Parcels
ZONE 0014	LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE	\$1,149,100 \$7,767,117 \$8,916,217	\$25,200 \$421,600 \$446,800	\$94,100 \$205,900 \$300,000	\$154,000 \$1,298,600 \$1,452,600	0\$	\$875,800 \$5,841,017 \$6,716,817	293.53 44 80	Acres Impr Cnt Parcels
ZONE 0015	LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE	\$5,390,700 \$699,900 \$6,090,600	\$5,045,800 \$0 \$5,045,800	0 9 9	0\$	0 \$ \$	\$344,900 \$699,900 \$1,044,800	5,114.53 5 14	Acres Impr Cnt Parcels
ZONE 0016	LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE	\$52,054,400 \$153,188,700 \$205,243,100	\$1,135,400 \$7,482,100 \$8,617,500	\$1,517,500 \$2,892,000 \$4,409,500	\$5,160,710 \$8,903,795 \$14,064,505	\$254,500 \$0 \$254,500	\$43,986,290 \$133,910,805 \$177,897,095	4,531.00 729 949	Acres Impr Cnt Parcels

5/23/2018

2018 Regular Roll ASMT 014 NAR

	Acres	Acres	Acres	Acres	Acres	Acres	Acres	Acres
	Impr Cnt	Impr Cnt	Impr Cnt	Impr Cnt	Impr Cnt	Impr Cnt	Impr Cnt	Impr Cnt
	Parcels	Parcels	Parcels	Parcels	Parcels	Parcels	Parcels	Parcels
	756.42 240 297	2,675.79 1,334 1,621	14,579.22 212 592	11,811.02 465 999	544,043.38 2,789 10,432	2,159.50 270 361	5,166.17 175 277	2,673.28
ASSESSED	\$15,955,300	\$49,901,071	\$6,505,373	\$12,571,269	\$132,767,997	\$12,144,130	\$12,721,671	\$1,801,409 2,673.28
	\$58,113,533	\$208,765,250	\$10,170,246	\$34,488,140	\$153,656,577	\$30,650,450	\$25,859,650	\$1,038,500 15
	\$74,068,833	\$258,666,321	\$16,675,619	\$47,059,409	\$286,424,574	\$42,794,580	\$38,581,321	\$2,839,909 54
FARM USE	0\$	\$130,379 \$0 \$130,379	\$8,727 \$0 \$8,727	\$273,131 \$0 \$273,131	0 0 %	\$695,145 \$0 \$695,145	\$1,650,545 \$0 \$1,650,545	\$61,891 \$0 \$61,891
SR. CITIZEN	\$1,106,700	\$7,200,650	\$837,700	\$1,747,500	\$4,136,103	\$1,620,950	\$580,400	\$418,600
	\$1,363,767	\$20,162,050	\$2,786,750	\$7,479,500	\$8,113,125	\$2,754,150	\$1,588,750	\$31,400
	\$2,470,467	\$27,362,700	\$3,624,450	\$9,227,000	\$12,249,228	\$4,375,100	\$2,169,150	\$450,000
ABLED VET	\$711,400 \$1,688,600 \$2,400,000	\$1,545,400 \$4,427,100 \$5,972,500	\$116,300 \$444,400 \$560,700	\$393,700 \$1,961,000 \$2,354,700	\$109,700 \$372,000 \$481,700	\$298,500 \$751,500 \$1,050,000	\$413,200 \$730,600 \$1,143,800	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
EXEMPT DISABLED VET	\$150,300	\$1,462,900	\$6,910,900	\$4,591,300	\$157,389,100	\$629,075	\$5,012,484	\$727,100
	\$1,845,300	\$20,681,650	\$661,800	\$25,163,460	\$15,811,826	\$1,411,750	\$3,397,200	\$160,000
	\$1,995,600	\$22,144,550	\$7,572,700	\$29,754,760	\$173,200,926	\$2,040,825	\$8,409,684	\$887,100
APPRAISED	\$17,923,700	\$60,240,400	\$14,379,000	\$19,576,900	\$294,402,900	\$15,387,800	\$20,378,300	\$3,009,000
	\$63,011,200	\$254,036,050	\$14,063,196	\$69,092,100	\$177,953,528	\$35,567,850	\$31,576,200	\$1,229,900
	\$80,934,900	\$314,276,450	\$28,442,196	\$88,669,000	\$472,356,428	\$50,955,650	\$51,954,500	\$4,238,900
	LAND VALUE	LAND VALUE	LAND VALUE	LAND VALUE	LAND VALUE	LAND VALUE	LAND VALUE	LAND VALUE
	IMPR VALUE	IMPR VALUE	IMPR VALUE	IMPR VALUE	IMPR VALUE	IMPR VALUE	IMPR VALUE	IMPR VALUE
	TOTAL VALUE	TOTAL VALUE	TOTAL VALUE	TOTAL VALUE	TOTAL VALUE	TOTAL VALUE	TOTAL VALUE	TOTAL VALUE
	_	ω	6	C	_		_	_
ZONE	ZONE 0017	ZONE 0018	ZONE 0019	ZONE 0020	ZONE 0021	ZONE 0023	ZONE 0024	ZONE 0025

5/23/2018

2018 Regular Roll ASMT 014 NAR

5/23/2018

2018 Regular Roll ASMT 014 NAR

		Acres Impr Cnt Parcels	Acres Impr Cnt Parcels	Acres Impr Cnt Parcels	Acres Impr Cnt Parcels	Acres Impr Cnt Parcels	Acres Impr Cnt Parcels	Acres Impr Cnt Parcels	Acres Impr Cnt Parcels
		6,938.82 3,071 4,021	162.76 9 17	2,383.19 475 624	16,002.68 2,630 4,174	12,838.64 988 2,052	14,863.48 1,973 2,507	619.71 24 61	33,485.68 1,785 3,578
	ASSESSED	\$285,966,091 \$781,287,586 \$1,067,253,677	\$1,316,500 \$2,161,700 \$3,478,200	\$22,491,850 \$82,879,770 \$105,371,620	\$122,423,579 \$335,178,060 \$457,601,639	\$39,016,651 \$86,938,564 \$125,955,215	\$96,302,347 \$346,221,557 \$442,523,904	\$1,698,300 \$3,063,300 \$4,761,600	\$116,083,325 \$146,837,722 \$262,921,047
A-L-S	FARM USE	0\$ \$0 \$0	0\$	0\$	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	\$3,554,788 \$0 \$3,554,788	\$000	0\$
A-L-U-E T-O-T-	SR. CITIZEN	\$16,926,135 \$37,921,865 \$54,848,000	\$52,800 \$97,200 \$150,000	\$2,178,650 \$5,864,550 \$8,043,200	\$14,271,071 \$32,233,874 \$46,504,945	\$2,588,561 \$9,491,650 \$12,080,211	\$12,802,165 \$23,556,779 \$36,358,944	\$129,900 \$320,100 \$450,000	\$11,899,316 \$15,713,859 \$27,613,175
X R-O-L-L V-	EXEMPT DISABLED VET	\$3,640,000 \$8,732,250 \$12,372,250	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	\$428,300 \$1,671,700 \$2,100,000	\$2,476,900 \$7,288,600 \$9,765,500	\$293,000 \$1,851,400 \$2,144,400	\$3,380,600 \$8,768,300 \$12,148,900	O O S	\$531,500 \$1,798,000 \$2,329,500
-E-R-T-Y T-A-)	EXEMPT DIS	\$49,458,774 \$241,497,433 \$290,956,207	\$344,900 \$366,900 \$711,800	\$1,621,900 \$5,744,800 \$7,366,700	\$11,973,950 \$48,864,088 \$60,838,038	\$8,280,188 \$50,370,814 \$58,651,002	\$11,190,300 \$33,110,964 \$44,301,264	\$320,000 \$204,000 \$524,000	\$14,575,859 \$28,537,206 \$43,113,065
R-E-A-L P-R-O-P-E-R-T-Y T-A-X R-O-L-L V-A-L-U-E T-O-T-A-L-S	APPRAISED	\$355,991,000 \$1,069,439,134 \$1,425,430,134	\$1,714,200 \$2,625,800 \$4,340,000	\$26,720,700 \$96,160,820 \$122,881,520	\$151,145,500 \$423,564,622 \$574,710,122	\$50,178,400 \$148,652,428 \$198,830,828	\$127,230,200 \$411,657,600 \$538,887,800	\$2,148,200 \$3,587,400 \$5,735,600	\$143,090,000 \$192,886,787 \$335,976,787
5/23/2018		LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE	LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE	LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE	LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE	LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE	LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE	LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE	LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE
Regular Roll NAR						0.00	•	1.2	F
2018 ASMT 014	ZONE	ZONE 0035	ZONE 0036	ZONE 0037	ZONE 0038	ZONE 0040	ZONE 0041	ZONE 0042	ZONE 0043

Eh181 MA

	Acres	Acres	Acres	Acres	Acres	Acres	Acres	Acres
	Impr Cnt	Impr Cnt	Impr Cnt	Impr Cnt	Impr Cnt	Impr Cnt	Impr Cnt	Impr Cnt
	Parcels	Parcels	Parcels	Parcels	Parcels	Parcels	Parcels	Parcels
	632.02	224.33	3,438.32	42,937.81	8,676.31	57,609.24	19,649.21	1,606.84
	2	9	68	129	291	283	921	42
	8	25	114	460	915	1,361	1,714	101
ASSESSED	\$525,400	\$552,600	\$2,118,100	\$11,789,850	\$12,964,846	\$15,630,356	\$42,415,465	\$2,324,200
	\$51,050	\$601,400	\$4,460,200	\$5,046,600	\$18,576,910	\$11,550,850	\$90,131,549	\$2,335,650
	\$576,450	\$1,154,000	\$6,578,300	\$16,836,450	\$31,541,756	\$27,181,206	\$132,547,014	\$4,659,850
FARM USE	0\$	0\$	0\$ \$	0\$	0\$	\$18,944 \$0 \$18,944	0\$	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
SR. CITIZEN	0\$ \$	\$42,800 \$107,200 \$150,000	\$260,800 \$1,079,100 \$1,339,900	\$417,450 \$491,000 \$908,450	\$748,954 \$3,756,640 \$4,505,594	\$445,200 \$1,247,300 \$1,692,500	\$5,301,350 \$12,248,551 \$17,549,901	\$72,300 \$296,350 \$368,650
ABLED VET	0\$	\$25,800 \$124,200 \$150,000	\$162,600 \$27,100 \$189,700	\$60,000 \$11,900 \$71,900	\$52,800 \$189,200 \$242,000	0 0 0	\$337,350 \$963,200 \$1,300,550	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
EXEMPT DISABLED VET	\$184,200	\$56,000	\$3,375,900	\$10,228,200	\$2,084,200	\$15,252,900	\$8,828,135	\$542,900
	\$0	\$136,000	\$347,600	\$87,900	\$1,069,700	\$358,300	\$32,907,650	\$26,900
	\$184,200	\$192,000	\$3,723,500	\$10,316,100	\$3,153,900	\$15,611,200	\$41,735,785	\$569,800
APPRAISED	\$709,600	\$677,200	\$5,917,400	\$22,495,500	\$15,850,800	\$31,347,400	\$56,882,300	\$2,939,400
	\$51,050	\$968,800	\$5,914,000	\$5,637,400	\$23,592,450	\$13,156,450	\$136,250,950	\$2,658,900
	\$760,650	\$1,646,000	\$11,831,400	\$28,132,900	\$39,443,250	\$44,503,850	\$193,133,250	\$5,598,300
	LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE	LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE	LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE					
ZONE	ZONE 0044	ZONE 0045	ZONE 0046	ZONE 0047	ZONE 0048	ZONE 0049	ZONE 0050	ZONE 0051

5/23/2018

2018 Regular Roll

ASMT 014

	Acres Impr Cnt Parcels	Acres Impr Cnt Parcels	Acres Impr Cnt Parcels	Acres Impr Cnt Parcels	Acres Impr Cnt Parcels	Acres Impr Cnt Parcels	Acres Impr Cnt Parcels	Acres Impr Cnt Parcels
	96,128.60 752 2,113	11.00	11,439.98 131 518	1,434.17 46 75	24.74 53 54	1,911.77 11 36	244.93 277 438	21.91 2 In
ASSESSED	\$22,278,081 \$27,775,845 \$50,053,926	0 0 0	\$4,300,800 \$2,901,925 \$7,202,725	\$1,146,800 \$2,582,750 \$3,729,550	\$1,100,000 \$6,551,200 \$7,651,200	\$749,300 \$1,356,500 \$2,105,800	\$11,946,242 \$17,651,582 \$29,597,824	\$276,000 \$868,100 \$1,144,100
FARM USE	\$207,219 \$0 \$207,219	0\$	0\$ \$0\$	0\$	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0\$	0 0 0 %	0 0 0
SR. CITIZEN	\$1,140,200 \$3,617,400 \$4,757,600	0\$	\$136,400 \$147,935 \$284,335	\$31,000 \$102,850 \$133,850	\$150,000 \$739,200 \$889,200	\$90,600 \$179,500 \$270,100	\$706,858 \$1,339,906 \$2,046,764	0\$
ABLED VET	\$56,000 \$351,900 \$407,900	80 80	\$0	0\$	\$25,000 \$118,900 \$143,900	0\$	\$43,000 \$220,200 \$263,200	0\$
EXEMPT DISABLED VET	\$28,673,600 \$6,275,864 \$34,949,464	\$36,600 \$0\$,	\$2,092,000 \$12,200 \$2,104,200	\$1,158,200 \$9,300,000 \$10,458,200	\$21,000 \$87,500 \$108,500	\$1,627,400 \$0 \$1,627,400	\$5,024,400 \$9,444,350 \$14,468,750	0\$
APPRAISED	\$52,355,100 \$38,021,009 \$90,376,109	009'9E\$ 0\$ 009'9E\$	\$6,529,200 \$3,062,060 \$9,591,260	\$2,336,000 \$11,985,600 \$14,321,600	\$1,296,000 \$7,496,800 \$8,792,800	\$2,467,300 \$1,536,000 \$4,003,300	\$17,720,500 \$28,656,038 \$46,376,538	\$276,000 \$868,100 \$1,144,100
	LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE	LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE	LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE	LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE	LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE	LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE	LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE	LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE
ZONE	ZONE 0052	ZONE 0054	ZONE 0055	ZONE 0056	ZONE 0057	ZONE 0058	ZONE 0060	ZONE 0061

5/23/2018

2018 Regular Roll ASMT 014 NAR JMK-143

	Acres Impr Cnt Parcels	Acres Impr Cnt Parcels	Acres Impr Cnt Parcels	Acres Parcels	Acres Impr Cnt Parcels
	109.82 3 9	28,366.67 998 3,522	0.88	1,165,131.61 44,966 78,038	0.00
ASSESSED	\$130,200 \$20,800 \$151,000	\$51,640,800 \$46,104,325 \$97,745,125	0\$	\$2,439,946,176 \$6,829,653,260 \$9,269,599,436	0\$
FARM USE	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0\$ 0\$	\$62,487,832 \$0 \$0 \$62,487,832	0 %
SR. CITIZEN	\$39,100 \$110,900 \$150,000	\$1,907,700 \$6,179,825 \$8,087,525	0 0 9	\$256,857,047 \$550,199,736 \$807,056,783	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
EXEMPT DISABLED VET	0\$	\$286,100 \$1,038,400 \$1,324,500	\$000	\$54,596,975 \$137,490,450 \$192,087,425	0 0 0 8
EXEMPT DI	\$53,200 \$61,800 \$115,000	\$7,849,200 \$3,215,850 \$11,065,050	0\$	\$568,318,470 \$1,708,105,971 \$2,276,424,441	0 0 0
APPRAISED	\$222,500 \$193,500 \$416,000	\$61,683,800 \$56,538,400 \$118,222,200	0\$	======================================	0\$ 0\$
	LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE	LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE		LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE	LAND VALUE IMPR VALUE TOTAL VALUE
ZONE	ZONE 0109	ZONE 0110		CTY TOTAL	UTILITY TOTAL

5/23/2018

2018 Regular Roll ASMT 014 NAR DW 18-143



Department of Revenue

TAX DIVISION

Robert B. Atwood Building 550 West Seventh Avenue, Suite 500 Anchorage, Alaska 99501-3555 Main: 907.269.6620

Fax: 907.269.6644

www.tax.alaska.gov

Letter ID: L0676749312

June 01, 2018

MATANUSKA - SUSITNA BOROUGH (MAT-SU) ATTN: BRAD PICKETT, ASSESSOR 350 E DAHLIA AVE PALMER, AK 99645-6411

Dear Mayor Vern Halter:

I have sent to your assessor a copy of the 2018 AS 43.56 Certified Assessment Roll for oil and gas property located within the Matanuska-Susitna Borough.

Total certified assessed value is: \$10,313,230

Please do not hesitate to contact our office with any questions or if the Tax Division can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,

James H. Greeley, Jr.

State Petroleum Property Assessor

Cc: Brad Pickett, Assessor

Enclosed: 2018 Certified Assessment Roll - Matanuska-Susitna Borough

JM18-143

			Assessed Value
ALYESKA PIPELINE SERVICE COMPANY PO BOX 196660 # MS 504 ANCHORAGE, AK 99519 ATTN: DANIELLE WEAVER FEIN: 92-0039154 PTA-10019488-012	007-007-2100	COMMUNICATIONS BUILDING	\$2,032,530
BROOKS RANGE PETROLEUM CORPORATION 510 L ST STE 601 ANCHORAGE, AK 99501 ATTN: THOMAS HABERMANN FEIN: 20-2135941 PTA-10041217-008	ON 007-032-7000	PRODUCTION FACILITIES - MUSTANG - MATSU	\$1,246,420
CONOCOPHILLIPS ALASKA INC. DBA: ALPINE PO BOX 100360 ANCHORAGE, AK 99510 ATTN: DEBORAH WILMOTH, ATO1648 FEIN: 94-2700433 PTA-10029746-020	007-035-0052	INVENTORY - MATSU - ALPINE	\$652,290
CONOCOPHILLIPS ALASKA INC. DBA: KUPARUK & NON-UNIT PO BOX 100360 ANCHORAGE, AK 99510 ATTN: DEBORAH WILMOTH, ATO1648 FEIN: 94-2700433 PTA-10029746-019	007-035-7001	INVENTORY - MSB - KUPARUK	\$665,070

Page: 1

State of Alaska 2018 Certified Assessment Roll Matanuska-Susitna Borough - 007

June 01, 2018

Name and Address of Owner	Property ID	Description	Asse	Assessed Value
HILCORP ALASKA, LLC DBA: COOK INLET ASSETS PO BOX 61229 HOUSTON, TX 77208 ATTN: STEPHEN MCGREGOR FEIN: 45-2627613				
PTA-10016278-014	007-021-0021	FACILITIES - PRETTY CREEK	A	\$404,600
	007-021-0028	FACILITIES - LEWIS RIVER		\$785,560
	007-021-7030	WELLS - IVAN RIVER		\$1,188,450
	007-021-7031	WELLS - LEWIS RIVER		\$426,440
	007-021-7032	WELLS - PRETTY CREEK		\$249,190
	007-021-7070	FACILITIES - IVAN RIVER		\$2,371,800
	Secretary Secretary Reports			8
PEAK OILFIELD SERVICE COMPANY LLC 5015 BUSINESS PARK BLVD STE 4000 ANCHORAGE, AK 99503 ATTN: SELENA BAILEY FEIN: 45-2883784 PTA-10016666-005	007-012-0056	OILFIELD SERVICE EQUIPMENT		\$290,880
			Total:	\$10,313,230

7

Page:

State of Alaska 2018 Certified Assessment Roll Matanuska-Susitna Borough - 007

June 01, 2018



Certification Sheet

for Senior Citizen/Disabled Veteran Homeowner Tax Exemption and



and
Farm and Agricultural Lands Deferred Tax Program

Municipality:	Matanuska-Susitna Borough	Date	29-May-18	
		-	·	

2018 ANNUAL REPORT

HOMEOWNER PROGRAM

BRUTTH SOME THE STATE OF	Senior Citizens	Disabled Veterans	SC/DV TOTALS
Number of Approved Applicants	6,060	1,344	7,404
Total Assessed Value Exempted *	\$807,056,783	\$192,087,425	\$999,144,208
Total Property Taxes Exempted*	\$12,085,987	\$2,857,349	\$14,943,337

^{*} Do not include amounts exempted under AS 29.45.050(a) or AS 29.45.050 (i)

FARM AND AGRICULTURAL USE PROGRAM

Number of Applicants	320		
Total Acreage Involved in Program	12,081.17		
Full & True Assessed Value	\$75,191,800		
Farm Use Value - prior to deduction for AS 29.45.050 (a) exemption	\$12,703,968		
TOTAL TAX DEFERRED	\$892,181		

Signature of certifying officer

Borough Assessor

Title

DM 18-143

I, _Brad Pickett_, do hereby certify that the numbers listed below are the true and correct numbers for the Senior Citizen/Disabled Veteran Homeowners Tax Exemption as 29.45.030 (e) and Farmland Agricultural Lands Deferred Tax Program AS 29.45.060.



MATANUSKA-SUSITNA BOROUGH

Department of Finance Division of Assessment

350 East Dahlia Avenue • Palmer, AK 99645 Phone (907) 861-8640 • Fax (907) 861-8693 www.matsugov.us

MEMORANDUM

DATE:

May 25, 2018

TO:

Matanuska-Susitna Borough Assembly

Brad Pickett, Borough Assessor

FROM:

Traci Barickman, Board of Equalization Chair

SUBJECT:

2018 Board of Equalization Summary Report and Summary Certification

to the Assembly.

2018 Board of Equalization Summary Report to the Assembly

Assessment Year 2018:	<u>Total</u>	<u>Percent</u>
Number of Appeals Filed:	152	100%
Number of Appeals Withdrawn:	133	87.5%
Number of Appeals Heard:	19	12.5%
Number of Cases with Appellants Present at Hearing:	10	52.6%
Number of Cases with Appellants Not Present at Hearing:	9	47.4%
Number of Cases Heard and Remanded to Assessor:	0	0%
Number of Cases Heard That Were Adjusted by Board:	2	10.5%
Net Change in Value of Adjustments Made by the Board:	-\$12,600	
Regular Hours Worked by Appraisers & BOE Clerk (March 02 –April 26):	3685	
Overtime Hours Worked by Appraisers & BOE Clerk (March 02- April 26):	5	
Meeting Hours Worked by Board Members:	11	5.0
Total Number of BOE Meeting Hours:	11	18-143

Following is a summary of actions taken by the 2018 Board of Equalization on the dates noted below:

APRIL 4, 2018 2:00 p.m. Organizational Meeting

Board Members present: Traci Barickman, Tom Healy, Tim Vereide, Blake Elder, Jeremiah Millen, and Robert Tracy.

Motion to elect Traci Barickman as Chair, by Tom Healy, 2nd by Tim Vereide. Votes in Favor: All. Votes Opposed: None. Motion **passes**; Motion to elect Tom Healy, Vice Chair by Tim Vereide, 2nd by Blake Elder. Votes in favor: All. Motion **passes**. Alice Hawkes, Board Clerk, administered the oath to all board members present: Traci Barickman, Tom Healy, Tim Vereide, Jeremy Millen, and Blake Elder. Alice Hawkes, Board Clerk swore in Borough Staff present: Brad Pickett, Steve Gallagher, Jim Dick, Ferdinand Kruger, Mike Long, Ken Gabel, Charles King, Bud Hilty, Pete Michaloski, Art Godin, Buddy Eveland, Todd Romine recorded their oaths.

Presentations:

State Assessor Marty McGee presented information to the Board regarding the appraisal process and State laws. Robert Tracy BOE member joined the meeting at 2:19 p.m. and was sworn in at 2:23 p.m. Shannon Bodolay Deputy Borough Attorney gave presentation on board and staffs conduct. The quorum was set with a maximum of five board members to hear late-file requests: Traci Barickman, Tom Healy, Tim Vereide, Jeremy Millen, and Blake Elder will hear the late file requests. Tim Vereide recused himself.

Late-File Requests:

Name: JAMIE KIRKMEYER, ACCOUNT NO. 120N05E33C014

Motion to grant late file request by Rob Tracy, 2nd by Blake Elder. Votes in Favor: None. Votes Opposed: Robert Tracy, Tom Healy, Traci Barickman, Blake Elder, and Jeremy Millen. Motion **fails**; late-file request is denied. Summary of findings: This is not a compelling reason to grant the request to file a late appeal, the appeal process is readily available to the property owner. Concurrence: Robert Tracy, Tom Healy, Blake Elder, Jeremy Millen and Traci Barickman. Non-concurrence: None.

Name: GARY BENEDETTI & MICHELE PROVOST, ACCOUNT NO. 119N02E33C003

Motion to grant late file request by Blake Elder, 2nd by Rob Tracy. Votes in Favor: None. Votes opposed Robert Tracy, Tom Healy, Blake Elder, Jeremy Millen and Traci Barickman. Motion fails; late-file request is denied. Summary of findings: Despite detail about why did not receive the appeal notice, board felt there was the borough website and other avenues where the information could be found, will stay consistent in their decisions, not seeing a reason to allow for late request because of not receiving assessment notice. Concurrence: Robert Tracy, Tom Healy, and Traci Barickman, Blake Elder, and Jeremy Millen. Non-concurrence: None.

KITTIWAKE, LLC., ACCOUNT NO. 18N02W27D006

Motion to grant late file request by Blake Elder, 2nd by Tom Healy. Votes in Favor: None. Votes Opposed: Robert Tracy, Tom Healy, Blake Elder, Traci Barickman, and Jeremy Millen. Motion fails: late-file request is denied. Summary of findings: Stated they incorrectly marked date on calendar for March instead of February and with all other means and ways they could have received correct date information we find this evidence insufficient to grant this late request. Concurrence Robert Tracy, Tom Healy, Blake Elder, Jeremy Millen and Traci Barickman. Non-concurrence: None.

KITTIWAKE, LLC., ACCOUNT NO. 6798000T00A

Motion to grant late file request by Blake Elder, 2nd by Tom Healy. Votes in Favor: None. Votes Opposed: Robert Tracy, Tom Healy, and Traci Barickman, Blake Elder, and Jeremy Millen. Motion **fails**; late-file request is denied. Summary of findings: Stated they incorrectly marked date on calendar for March instead of February and amongst all other ways this information is available including newspaper, mailer, and calling the borough we find this evidence insufficient to grant this late request. Concurrence: Robert Tracy, Tom Healy, Blake Elder, Jeremy Millen and Traci Barickman. Non-concurrence: None.

JM 18-143

DONNA JERFFERIES, ACCOUNT NO. 2289B01L008

Motion to grant late file request by Rob Tracy, 2nd by Tom Healy. Votes in Favor: None. Votes Opposed Robert Tracy, Tom Healy, Traci Barickman, Blake Elder, and Jeremy Millen. Motion **fails**; late-file request is denied. Summary of findings: With not receiving and confidence in mail system and other avenues promoting and advertising the dates the board is not finding this a compelling reason to grant the late file request. Concurrence: Robert Tracy, Tom Healy, Traci Barickman, Blake Elder, and Jeremy Millen. Non-concurrence: None.

DEREK & LEANN MARTIN, ACCOUNT NO. 4746000L011

Motion to grant late file request by Rob Tracy, 2nd by Tom Healy. Votes in Favor: None. Votes Opposed: Robert Tracy, Tom Healy, Traci Barickman, Blake Elder, and Jeremy Millen. Motion **fails**; late-file request is denied. Summary of findings: The board does not feel that being unaware of the deadline is a valid reason for granting a late file request. Concurrence: Robert Tracy, Tom Healy, Traci Barickman, Blake Elder, and Jeremy Millen. Nonconcurrence: None.

CHET & ANGELA HOBBIE, ACCOUNT NO. 57032000L001

Motion to grant late file request by Rob Tracy, 2nd by Blake Elder. Votes in Favor: None. Votes Opposed: Robert Tracy, Tom Healy, Traci Barickman, Blake Elder, and Jeremy Millen. Motion fails; late-file request is denied. Summary of findings: The Board found that in order to remain consistent with all their rulings in regards to forgetting or not noticing the dates they need to reject this reason for granting late file requests. Where the property owner has forgotten, not noticed the deadline, or traveling does not constitute a compelling reasons or circumstances for the inability to file a timely appeal. Concurrence: Robert Tracy, Tom Healy, Traci Barickman, Blake Elder, and Jeremy Millen. Non-concurrence: None.

Recessed until 9:00 am, April 10, 2018 in the Lower Level Conference room.

APRIL 10, 2018, 9 a.m.

Board Members present: Traci Barickman, Tom Healy, and Tim Vereide.

Name: KENNETH AND LISA TAYLOR

Appeal # 068

Account #57633000L001

Appellant was not present at hearing.

Motion to grant appeal by Tom Healy, 2nd by Tim Vereide. Votes in favor - None. Opposed - All. Motion fails; borough valuation upheld. Summary of findings: The appellants letter provided no supporting market data to substantiate their appeal. Made reference to market values having decreased due to government policy over the past two years, there was no supporting information for that statement. Staff provided comparable land sales that support the current assessed value of parcel. Staff provided decrement to offset the subject parcel having no power. This parcel is a daughter parcel and the value increase in subject parcel due to this new fact is independent, separate legal description and the assessed value of subject is in equity with other similar parcels in the vicinity. Basis of appeal was excessive and improper. Appellant has not provided adequate evidence to support their position. The board did not find evidence of excessive or improper property value. Concurrence with Findings of Facts: In Favor: Tom Healy, Traci Barickman, and Tim Veriede. Opposed: None.

Name: KENNETH AND LISA TAYLOR

Appeal # 069

Account #57633000L002

Appellant was not present at hearing.

Motion to grant appeal by Tom Healy, 2nd by Tim Vereide. Votes in favor - None. Opposed - All. Motion **fails**; borough valuation upheld. Summary of findings: The appellants letter provided no supporting market data to substantiate their appeal. Made reference to market values having decreased due to government policy over the past two years, there was no supporting information for that statement. Staff provided comparable land sales that support the current assessed value of parcel. Staff provided decrement to offset the subject parcel having no power. This parcel is a daughter parcel and the value increase in subject parcel due to this new fact is independent, separate legal description and the assessed value of subject is in equity with other similar parcels in the vicinity. Basis of

DM 18-143

appeal was excessive and improper. Appellant has not provided adequate evidence to support their position. The board did not find evidence of excessive or improper property value. Concurrence with Findings of Facts: In Favor: Tom Healy, Traci Barickman, and Tim Veriede. Opposed: None.

Name: KENNETH AND LISA TAYLOR

Appeal # 070

Account #57633000L003

Appellant was not present at hearing.

Motion to grant appeal by Tom Healy, 2nd by Tim Vereide. Votes in favor - None. Opposed - All. Motion **fails**; borough valuation upheld. Summary of findings: Comparable sales are different for these last two parcels as they are not raw land. Staff's comparable sales substantiate their values. The difference to previous parcels is also the availability of power and gas. Parcel sizes are larger and comparable's are also appropriately sized. Parcel sizes are different, power is available and natural gas is not available to this parcel. Concurrence with Findings of Facts: In Favor: Tom Healy, Traci Barickman, and Tim Veriede. Opposed: None.

Name: KENNETH AND LISA TAYLOR

Appeal # 071

Account #57633000L004

Appellant was not present at hearing.

Motion to grant appeal by Tom Healy, 2nd by Tim Vereide. Votes in favor - None. Opposed - All. Motion **fails**; borough valuation upheld. Summary of findings: Comparable sales are different for these last two parcels as they are not raw land. Staff's comparable sales substantiate their values. The difference to previous parcels is also the availability of power and gas. Parcel sizes are larger and comparable's are also appropriately sized. Parcel sizes are different, power is available and natural gas is not available to this parcel. Concurrence with Findings of Facts: In Favor: Tom Healy, Traci Barickman, and Tim Veriede. Opposed: None.

APRIL 12, 2018, 1:00 p.m.

Board Members present: Traci Barickman, Tim Vereide, and Jeremy Millen.

Name: HELENA MONTECELLI

Appeal # 061

Account # 55567000L002

Appellant was present at hearing.

Motion to grant appeal 061, by Tim Vereide, 2nd by Jeremy Millen. Votes in favor - None. Opposed-- Traci Barickman, Tim Vereide, and Jeremy Millen. Motion **fails**; borough valuation upheld. Summary of findings: Borough has provided sufficient evidence to support their value. The evidence provided by the appellant was helpful in determining the borough assessment is on point. There was discrepancy in many of the adjustments made in favor of the subject parcel that were of a significant value and were also subjective. The CMA provided by the realtor for the appellant therefore does not meet the burden of proof. The boroughs data supports their value. The appellants referred appeal comps were run though the boroughs program and analyzed through a perspective that all other properties are analyzed through, and found to be equitable system wide. Concurrence with Findings of Facts: In Favor –All. Opposed – None.

Name: RICHARD WHITE

Appeal # 019

Account # 216N03W14B002

Appellant was present at hearing.

Motion to grant appeal 019, by Tim Vereide, 2nd by Jeremy Millen. Votes in favor - None. Opposed—All. Motion **fails**; borough valuation upheld. Summary of findings: The subject parcel is 2.09 acre with 500 feet frontage, the appellant asserted the parcel is valued excessive, unequal, and improperly. Staff has shown through a list of comparable's that it is not excessive relative to market sales analysis. The parcel is equitable and is equal relative to other similar parcels around the lake. Staff used the proper methodology and standard practices in how they valued the parcel. Staff also provided graphs that trend to parcel being not excessive or out of equity with other parcels. Concurrence with Findings of Fact: In Favor: Traci Barickman, Tim Vereide, and Jeremy Millen. Opposed: None.

DW 18-143

April 18, 2018 5:30 p.m.

Board members present: Tom Healy, William Barnes, and Robert Tracy.

Name: WILLIAM & CANDYCE CHILDERS

Appeal # 20

Account # 56891000L002

Appellants were present at hearing.

Motion to grant appeal 020, by Rob Tracy, 2nd by Bill Barnes. Votes in favor: None. Opposed-- All. Motion fails; borough valuation upheld. Summary of findings: The appellants presented a number of comparable sales with the help from a realtor. There were points in favor of their case, however the strongest case was made by the borough. Many of the comps provided were in fact selling for \$260,000. There were a number of comps that indicate an assessed value of \$258,000 the appellant provided comparable's of which the assessor could verify 9 of those in their system and adjusted those 9 with the appropriate adjustments and they proved the same value they have. Assessor met their burden of proof. Current assessed value supported by the comps provided, showed the valuation was not excessive. The valuation uses the same process and is equal to others and no intention to place a fraudulent value or system to value was found. Concurrence with Findings of Facts: In Favor – Tom Healy, William Barnes, and Robert Tracy. Opposed – None.

Name: GARVAN & JEANENE BUCARIA

Appeal # 139

Account # 52130B01L007

Appellant was present at hearing.

Motion to grant appeal 139, by Bill Barnes. 2nd by Rob Tracy. Motion by Rob Tracy to divide consideration of the appeal 139 to separate consideration of the land value from consideration of the improvement value and that they vote on them separately. Barnes 2nd. Motion to grant the appeal 139 in terms of building value which was previously reduced to \$9,200 and they will further reduce to \$9,000. Votes in favor - Tom Healy, Rob Tracy, and Bill Barnes. Opposed—None. Motion passes. Appellant prevails. Rob Tracy made motion to grant the appeal 139 that they approve the land value portion of the appeal. 2nd by Bill Barnes. Votes in favor: None. Motion fails. The land value stays the same. Summary of findings: The borough has selected value that is near the bottom of the indicators of price per acre. When they arrayed the nine most similar sales the value per acre range began at \$6,000 and rose to \$10,000 only one exception was found for \$5,000. With the range between 6-10 thousand the borough selected a value at the bottom. They found a neighboring lot to use in the analysis that's adjacent to the south. With adverse topography, and dying spruce the board felt it was a good comparable and had sold twice for \$40,000, again the assessed value of 28,700 is conservative. Is consistent with the neighboring lots and so equal. The borough has made concession to the value of the building by reducing it twice. Concurrence with findings of fact: All.

Name: GARVAN & JEANENE BUCARIA

Appeal # 140 & 141

Account # 52130B01L010 & 52130B01L012

Appellant was present at hearing.

Motion to consider both appeal 140 and 141 together by Rob Tracy, 2nd by Bill Barnes. Motion passes. Motion to grant appeal 140 and 141, by Rob Tracy, 2nd by Bill Barnes. Votes in favor - None. Opposed—Tom Healy, Rob Tracy, and Bill Barnes. Motion **fails**. Borough valuation upheld. Summary of findings: No data to support the appellant asking for \$2200 an acre for his site or any site in the whole area. What data they do have is a range of sales that supports the current value. The borough has treated these equitably, so there is equity in their analysis, appeals were brought on the basis of unequal, excessive and improper. The board has found no evidence of that and will retain borough value of \$29,900 for account 2130B01L010 and \$29,900 for 2130B01L012. Concurrence with findings of fact: Tom Healy, Rob Tracy, and Bill Barnes.

April 19, 2018, 1 p.m.

Board members present: David Heier, William Barnes, and Tom Healy

DM 18-143

Name: DEAN BEAULIEU

Appeal # 031

Account # 56001B01L007

Appellant was present at hearing.

Motion to grant appeal 031, by Dave Heier, 2nd by Bill Barnes. Votes in favor - None. Opposed-- Tom Healy, Bill Barnes, and Dave Heier. Motion **fails**; borough valuation upheld. Summary of findings: The appellant failed to provide evidence that the assessment was excessive. The borough provided adequate support and defense that the value is what the properties are selling for. The appellant brought a 2012 appraisal. The appellant brought in information on his vacancy rate but the borough did not find that clearly in the market or in the survey done by the state. Increased vacancy rates can be due to many things. Real estate value is a slow trending, moving object, and if it continues to have high vacancy rates it will show up in the next year or so in the sales prices. Too soon to see any need for change yet. Vacancy rates are addressed through the GRM because it includes both the rents and the sales approach. Different approaches to value: Cost approach, income approach (5+ units), sales comp approach is broken down by price per unit, sq. ft., no. of bedrooms. GRM is considered income approach. It's the month rent divided into sales price. You don't rely on any one of the different approaches. You get a trend, then you reconcile. The board did not find evidence of value being excessive or valued improperly. Concurrence with findings of fact: In favor: Tom Healy, Bill Barnes, and Dave Heier. Opposed: None.

April 24, 2018, 9 a.m.

Board members present Tom Healy, Tim Vereide, and Bill Barnes.

Name: GRACE INVESTMENTS, LLC

Appeal # 102

Account # 56002B03L005

Appellant was not present at hearing.

Motion to grant appeal 102, by Bill Barnes, 2nd by Tim Vereide. Votes in favor - None. Opposed-- Tom Healy, Tim Vereide, and Bill Barnes. Motion **fails**; borough valuation upheld. Summary of findings: The subject is 3840 sq. foot ranch style building constructed in 2011. Units are all 2 bedrooms. Subject is accessed by non-borough maintained road. Appellant did not supply comparable sales to substantiate claim of excessive and improperly appraised. Borough submitted 4 comparable sales showing subject is not improperly appraised. The borough evaluated the comp's looking at the gross building area, per unit value, per bedroom value, and the GRM. Subject has been well bracketed by the comps provided by borough. There is a 10 percent decrement that has been applied to offset the impact of the non-typical financing and the non-maintained road. Appellant stated property is valued improperly, board did not see where the income approach is improper. Comparables showed value not excessive and valued similar to other like properties. Concurrence with Findings of Facts: In Favor: Tom Healy, Tim Vereide, and Bill Barnes. Opposed: None.

April 24, 2018, 1 p.m.

Board members present: Traci Barickman, Blake Elder, and Jeremiah Millen.

Name: ROGER GAY

Appeal 114

Account # 217N03W31A017

Appellant and his Attorney was present at hearing.

Motion to grant appeal 114, by Blake Elder. 2nd by Jeremy Millen. Votes in favor –None. Opposed—Blake Elder, Traci Barickman, and Jeremiah Millen. Motion fails; borough valuation upheld. Summary of findings: The appellant argues that because of the extreme cost to install a driveway on his property that the taxable value should be \$0 or less than \$0. In explanation with the comps no burden of proof was met showing the borough was in error with their calculations. They did take into consideration 5 other comps in the area that had similar access problems, subject property does have legal and physical access, although it is very expensive to put in a driveway. The comps showed

tm 18-143

similar properties and some of them did not have legal access and had very difficult physical access. So moved to not accept the appeal, the burden of proof has not been met and the appeal is denied. No adequate data supplied by appellant other than the issues of topography. Concurrence with Findings of Facts: In Favor – Blake Elder, Traci Barickman, and Jeremiah Millen. Opposed – None.

Name: PHYLLIS PETTIGREW

Appeal # 113

Account # 56070000L1203

Appellant was present at hearing.

Borough adjusted at hearing for the well and removed the loft, the building value becoming \$19,300. \$23,100 Total. Motion to grant appeal 113, by Blake Elder. 2nd by Jeremy Millen. Vote in favor: None. Opposed: Traci Barickman, Blake Elder, and Jeremy Millen. Motion **fails**; borough valuation upheld. Summary of findings: The argument was the assessment was excessive and improper. The arguments for that is the quality of finishes, the foundation, the roof, well value, and there being no loft, after discussion the borough adjusted for no loft and for the well not being usable. Appellant brought information on homes in her neighborhood, which were discussed and they were found to be consistent with the assessment of the borough. No evidence to support appeal or further adjustment. Concurrence with Findings of Facts: In Favor – Traci Barickman, Blake Elder, and Jeremy Millen. Opposed – None.

Name: DEANNA SCHAEFER Appeal # 121 Account # 53031B01L028 Appellant was present at hearing.

Move to grant appeal 121 by Blake Elder. 2nd by Jeremy Millen. Votes in favor - None. Opposed-- Traci Barickman, Blake Elder, and Jeremy Millen. Motion fails; borough valuation upheld. Summary of findings: The Appellant claims an unreasonable price for the assessed value, she has several examples in her initial appeal, though she has failed to provide the evidence. In addition, has failed to allow a physical inspection to assess appropriately. Regardless, the borough added a 20% decrement based on her statements. The appellant has not met the burden of proof or provided any evidence to support her appeal. The board has therefore moved to deny the appeal. Concurrence with Findings of Facts: In Favor – Traci Barickman, Blake Elder, and Jeremy Millen. Opposed – None.

April 25, 2017, 5:30 p.m.

Board members present: Tom Healy, Robert Tracy, and David Heier.

Name: ROBERT MEINHARDT

Appeal # 147

Account # 56517B01L005

Appellant was present at hearing.

Motion to continue this hearing tomorrow at 3 PM and also appeal 148 by Dave Heier, 2nd by Rob Tracy. All were in favor. Additional info for 148 submitted by borough. New grid and new write-up.

Name: CURTIS & DONNA MARTIN

Appeal # 128 & 131

Account # 5021B4AL010-1 & 5021B4AL013-1

Appellant was not present at hearing.

Chair asked if there was objection to hearing both appeal 128 & 131 together as the properties were very similar. No objections from the board or the borough. Borough asked to submit additional information as the grid was left out of the write up. Additional information was accepted by the board. Motion to grant appeal 128 & 131 by Robert Tracy, 2nd by David Heier. Votes in favor - None. Opposed-- Tom Healy, Rob Tracy, and David Heier. Motion fails; borough valuation upheld. Summary of findings: No evidence brought forward by the property owner that the assessment is excessive, unequal, or valued improperly. The borough has made reasonable adjustments for conditions that were brought to the boroughs attention by the property owner in terms of building conditions. The property

Im 18-143

owner has not met their burden of proof. Concurrence with Findings of Facts: In Favor – Tom Healy, Rob Tracy, and David Heier. Opposed –None.

April 26, 2018, 9 a.m.

Board members present: Traci Barickman, Dave Heier, and Blake Elder.

Name: JOHN & DAWN HARRIS

Appeal # 079

Account # 55344B03L013A

Appellant was not present at hearing.

Motion to grant appeal 079 in the amount of \$357,600 at borough staff's recommendation by David Heier. 2nd by Blake Elder. Votes in favor: Traci Barickman, David Heier, and Blake Elder. Opposed—None. Motion **passes** - Appellant prevails. Summary of findings: The board has taken the boroughs recommendation to approve the appeal. Borough stated they cannot substantiate their value and apologized to board for not resolving it sooner. Concurrence with Findings of Facts: In Favor: Traci Barickman, Blake Elder, and Dave Heier. Opposed:

None.

April 26, 2018, 3 p.m.— Continuation from April 25, 2018 — Appeals 147 & 148 Board members present: Tom Healy, Robert Tracy, and David Heier.

Name: ROBERT MEINHARDT & NICOLETTE BORDERS

Appeal # 147

Account # 56517B01L005

Appellant was present at hearing.

Continuation from April 25th, 2018.

Continuation on April 26, 2018 at 3:00 PM in the Lower Level Conference Room

Appellant withdrew appeal 148 at hearing. Will wait until he has a more info to present a stronger case. Motion to grant appeal 147, by Robert Tracy. 2nd by David Heier. Votes in favor - None. Opposed-- Tom Healy, Rob Tracy, and David Heier. Motion **fails**; borough valuation upheld. Summary of findings: No adequate evidence to meet the burden of proof. Although the appellant thinks there should be further decrement for propane versus gas there is no evidence to show it in the market data. The appellant has not met the burden of proof. Difficult to collate the information and find one side as being specifically stronger than the other. There was no information that was compelling enough to grant the appeal. Concurrence with Findings of Facts: In Favor – Rob Tracy, David Heier, and Tom Healy. Opposed – None.

The 2018 Board of Equalization does hereby certify this summary of its actions taken on the dates noted above.

Traci Barickman, Chair

Board of Equalization

<u>u/4/18</u> Date

DM 18-143