Supplemental Wetlands Mitigation Ordinance OR 21-025, IM 21-051 Staff Report

Ted Eischeid, Planner II

Ph. 861-8606

Ted.Eischeid@matsugov.us

1 June 2021

MSB Assembly



What's the Problem?



- The USACE recently has not always consistent in requiring full wetland mitigation as required by the CWA.
- The MSB Fish and Wildlife Commission in 2019 recommended exploring this issue.
- In 2019 MSB Assembly directed staff to develop a draft ordinance addressing wetland mitigation on larger developments.

How Did We Get Here?

- Inconsistency in USACE wetland mitigation process.
- Example: In 2018 USACE <u>Crooked Creek</u> wetland development permit:
 - Project Pipeline through MSB <u>impacted 200 Wetland Acres</u>.
 - USACE required only 5 A be mitigated within MSB.

> This stimulated a local discussion... How can we support development that also offsets its impacts on wetlands in a way that maintains wetland functions?



Wetlands Provide Free Services

Wetlands Provide:

- Fish and Wildlife Habitat
- Flood Control
- Good Water Quality
- Recreation



- Fish Passage Culvert Program
- MSB Fish & Wildlife Commission work
- Mat-Su Basin Salmon Habitat Partnership work
- MSB Comprehensive Plan/Wetland Mgmt. Plan, etc.



SWMO & Wetlands

Rationale:

- Wetlands <u>provide economic value to MSB</u>
- o <u>USACE decision-making is **not** always consistent</u>
- o The SWMO requires <u>fully mitigation/offset of lost Wetland functions</u> from <u>larger projects</u> within the MSB.
- o At full buildout the SWMO will help retain the functions of 50% of the MSB wetland base.

MSB 17.31 Summarized

1. APPLICABILITY

- Applies to larger wetland projects that:
 - Require <u>USACE Individual Permit</u>, and
 - Impact <u>10+ acres</u> jurisdictional wetlands.

2. APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS

Uses USACE paperwork.

3. GENERAL STANDARDS FOR APPROVAL.

- Developer chooses an approved USACE mitigation option;
- Mitigation offset occurs in MSB; and
- Applicant documents this mitigation for MSB Wetland Permit.



SWMO Permitting Flow Chart

1. Developer <u>applies for</u> <u>Individual Wetland Permit with</u> <u>USACE</u>- Public Notice Issued

MSB informs about MSB code 17.31

2. If project impacts 10+ acres of wetlands, MSB permit required

 Developer submits_MSB application; provides <u>all</u> USACE documents. 3. Developer shows <u>full</u> <u>mitigation offset within</u> <u>MSB</u> of project impacts.

 MSB checks documentation; issues permit per MSB 17.31



What the SWMO doesn't do

- ▶ The SWMO Does NOT...
 - Does NOT duplicate the USACE process, but uses that paperwork;
 - Instead sets a local land-use standard.
 - Does NOT involve itself in the various USACE mitigation options.
 - Does NOT apply to most wetland development projects.
 - Does NOT apply to isolated, nonjursidictional wetlands.





Evaluation

- Standards for Evaluating Draft OR 21-025:
 - Does it implement adopted MSB Comp Plans?
 - Does it complement prior MSB investments?
 - Does it help protect health, safety, property, infrastructure?
 - Does it have advisory commission support?
 - Does it have public support?
 - Does it solve the identified problem?

Notable: Robust public outreach effort



Thank you

Ted Eischeid, Planner II

Ph. 861-8606

Ted.Eischeid@matsugov.us

Kim Sollien, Planning Division Manager Ph. 861-8514

Kim.Sollien@matsugov.us

Alex Strawn, Planning Department Director Ph. 861-7850

Alex.strawn@matsugov.us

